arXiv:1405.5698v1 [math.GT] 22 May 2014

GLUING FORMULA OF REAL ANALYTIC TORSION FORMS AND ADIABATIC LIMIT

JIALIN ZHU

Abstract. In this article we use the adiabatic method to prove the gluing formula of real analytic torsion forms for a flat vector bundle on a smooth fibration under the assumption that the fiberwise twisted cohomology groups associated to the fibration of the cutting hypersurface are vanished. In this paper we assume that the metrics have product structures near the cutting hypersurface.

Contents

0. Introduction 2
1. Anomaly formula and gluing problem of Bismut-Lott torsion forms 6
1.1. Smooth fibration with boundaries and fibration with cylinder end 6
1.2. Bismut-Lott superconnection form 7
1.3. Analytic torsion forms of boundary case and anomaly formulas 9
1.4. Off-diagonal estimates and comparison of heat kernels 10
1.5. The gluing problem of analytic torsion forms 13
1.6. The stretching diffeomorphisms 15
1.7. An identity in the process of adiabatic limit 17
2. The gluing formula by using adiabatic limit methods 19
2.1. The gluing formula when $H(Y,F)=0$ 20
2.2. Adiabatic limit of the small time contribution $S(R)$ 20
3. Large time contributions in the adiabatic limit 30
3.1. Spectral gaps uniform with respect to $R\to\infty$ 31
3.2. Eigenvalues decaying exponentially as $R\to\infty$ 36
3.3. Eigenvalues bounded away from 0 39
3.4. Adiabatic limit of the large time contribution $L(R)$ 43
4. Contribution of the long exact sequence in the adiabatic limit 48
4.1. Adiabatic limit of the torsion forms $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R},h^{\mathscr{H}_R}_{L^2})$ 48
4.2. Proof of Lemma 4.3 49
4.3. Proof of Lemma 4.10 53
References 58

Date: May 23, 2014.

0. Introduction

Real analytic torsion is a spectral invariant of a compact Riemannian manifold equipped with a flat Hermitian vector bundle, that was introduced by Ray-Singer [32]. Ray and Singer conjectured that for unitarily flat vector bundles, this invariant coincides with Reidemeister torsion, a topological invariant [28]. This conjecture was established by Cheeger [14] and Müller [29], and extended by Müller [30] for unimodular flat vector bundles, and by Bismut-Zhang [8] to arbitrary flat vector bundles.

In [6], Bismut and Lott introduced what we now call Bismut-Lott analytic torsion form for a smooth fibration with a flat vector bundle as a natural higher degree generalization of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion. One of the significant facts is that the real analytic torsion form enters in a differential form version of a $C^\infty-$analog of the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem for holomorphic submersions. Bismut and Lott also showed that under some appropriate conditions the Bismut-Lott torsion form is closed and its de Rham cohomology class is independent of the choices of the geometric data in its definition (cf. [6, Cor. 3.25]), thus it's a smooth invariant of the fibration with a flat vector bundle.

Inspired by the work of Bismut and Lott, Igusa [21] constructed a higher version of Reidemeister-Franz torsion by using the parameterized Morse theory. The reader refers to the books of Igusa [21] and [22] for more information about the higher Igusa-Klein torsion (IK-torsion). A second version of higher Reidemeister-Franz torsion (DWW-torsion) was defined by Dwyer, Weiss and Williams [16] in the homotopy theoretical approach. Bismut and Goette [5] obtained a family version of the Bismut-Zhang Theorem under the assumption that there exists a fiberwise Morse function for the fibration in question. Goette [17], [18] did more work towards the precise relation on BL-torsion and IK-torsion. The survey [19] of Goette gives an overview about these higher torsion invariants for families. The reader can refer to [5], [13] for the equivariant BL-torsion forms and to [7] for the recent works on the analytic torsion forms.

In Igusa's axiomatization of higher torsion invariants (cf. [23, §3]), he summarized two axioms: Additivity Axiom and Transfer Axiom, to characterize the higher torsions, up to an universal cohomology class depending only on the underlaying manifold. In [23, §5], Igusa established the additivity formula and the transfer formula for IK-torsion. Roughly speaking, the additivity formula of IK-torsion corresponds to the gluing formula of BL-torsion, and the transfer formula of IK-torsion corresponds to the functoriality of BL-torsion with respect to the composition of two submersions, which has been established by Ma [26]. The main results of Igusa in [23] were first developed and announced during the conference [1] on the higher torsion invariants in Göttingen in September 2003. To study the gluing problem of BL-torsion was proposed as an open problem during this conference in order to clarify the relation between BL-torsion and IK-torsion. Once we have established the gluing formula for BL-torsion, then it will imply basically that there exist a constant c and a cohomology class $R \in H^*(S)$ such than $\tau_{\mathrm{IK}} = c\tau_{\mathrm{BL}} + R$, when they are well-defined as cohomology classes. This is the main motivation of this paper.

Lück [25] established the gluing formula for the Ray-Singer analytic torsion for unitary flat vector bundles when the Riemannian metric has product structure near the boundary

by using the results in [24]. There are also other works on the gluing problem of the analytic torsion (cf. [20], [33]). Finally, Brüning and Ma [10] established the anomaly formula for the analytic torsion on manifolds with boundary, then they [11] proved the gluing formula for the analytic torsion for any flat vector bundles and without any assumptions of product structures near the boundary.

In this paper, we will consider the gluing problem of Bismut-Lott torsion form. Let $\pi : M \to S$ be a smooth fibration over a compact manifold S. We suppose that X is a compact hypersurface in M such that $M = M_1 \cup_X M_2$ and M_1, M_2 are manifolds respectively with the common boundary X. We also assume that

$$Z_1 \to M_1 \overset{\pi}{\to} S, \quad Z_2 \to M_2 \overset{\pi}{\to} S \quad \text{and } Y \to X \overset{\pi}{\to} S \tag{0.1}$$

are all smooth fibrations with fiber $Z_{1,b}$, $Z_{2,b}$ and Y_b at $b \in S$ such that $Z_b = Z_{1,b} \cup_{Y_b} Z_{2,b}$. In other words, the fibrations M_1 and M_2 can be glued into M along X.

Let TZ be the vertical tangent bundle of M with a vertical Riemannian metric g^{TZ}. Let T^HM be a horizontal tangent bundle of M such that $TM = T^HM \bigoplus TZ$. Let $U_\varepsilon \simeq X \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ be a product neighborhood of X in M, and $\psi_\varepsilon : X \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to X$ be the projection on the first factor. We **assume** that T^HM and g^{TZ} have product structures on U_ε, i.e.,

$$(T^HM)|_X \subset TX, \quad \big(T^HM\big)|_{U_\varepsilon} = \psi_\varepsilon^*\big((T^HM)|_X\big), \tag{0.2}$$

$$g^{TZ}|_{(x',x_m)} = g^{TY}(x') + dx_m^2, \quad (x', x_m) \in X \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon). \tag{0.3}$$

Then $T^HX := (T^HM)|_X$ gives a horizontal bundle of fibration X, such that $TX = T^HX \oplus TY$.

Let F be a flat vector bundle over M with flat connection ∇^F, i.e., $(\nabla^F)^2 = 0$. We trivialize F along x_m-direction, by using the parallel transport with respect to ∇^F, then we have

$$(F, \nabla^F)|_{X\times(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)} = \psi_\varepsilon^*(F|_X, \nabla^F|_X). \tag{0.4}$$

Let h^F be a Hermitian metric on F. We **assume** that under the identification (0.4), we have

$$h^F|_{U_\varepsilon} = \psi_\varepsilon^*(h^F|_X). \tag{0.5}$$

If h^F is flat, i.e., $\nabla^F h^F = 0$, then (0.5) is a consequence of the flatness of h^F. In all of this paper, we **assume** that the triple (T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) has the product structures on $X_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}$ (cf. [36]), i.e.,

$$\text{(0.2), (0.3) and (0.5) hold.} \tag{0.6}$$

Let $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^HM_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F)$ (resp. $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^HM_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F)$) be the Bismut-Lott torsion form with absolute (resp. relative) boundary conditions that we introduced in [36]. Let $H^p(Z_1, F)$ (resp. $H^p(Z_2, Y, F)$) denote the flat vector bundle on S with its canonical flat connection $\nabla^{H^p(Z_1,F)}$ (resp. $\nabla^{H^p(Z_2,Y,F)}$), whose fiber is isomorphic to the absolute (resp.

absolute) cohomology group $H^p(Z_{1,b},F)$ (resp. $H^p(Z_{2,b},Y,F)$) at $b\in S$ (cf. [36, § 1.3]). Then we have a long exact sequence $(\mathscr{H},\delta)$ of flat vector bundles (cf. [11, (0.16)]):

$$\cdots\longrightarrow H^p(Z,F)\xrightarrow{\delta}H^p(Z_1,F)\xrightarrow{\delta}H^{p+1}(Z_2,Y,F)\xrightarrow{\delta}\cdots. \tag{0.7}$$

We denote the L^2-metric on $\mathscr{H}$ by $h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}$ induced by Hodge theory and the canonical flat connection by $\nabla^{\mathscr{H}}$. Then we associate a torsion form $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}},h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2})$ to the triple $(\mathscr{H},A^{\mathscr{H}}:=\delta+\nabla^{\mathscr{H}},h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2})$ for $f(x)=xe^{x^2}$ (cf. [6, Def. 2.20]).

Let Q^S be the vector space of real even forms on S and $Q^{S,0}$ be the vector space of real exact even forms on S. Let $\chi(Y)$ be the Euler characteristic of Y.

We formulate a conjecture about the general gluing formula of analytic torsion forms in order to answer the open problem proposed in the conference [1] on higher torsion invariants at Göttingen 2003.

Conjecture 0.1. *With the assumption of product structures (0.6), the following identity holds in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T}(T^HM,g^{TZ},h^F)-\mathscr{T}_{\rm abs}(T^HM_1,g^{TZ_1},h^F)-\mathscr{T}_{\rm rel}(T^HM_2,g^{TZ_2},h^F)\\ =\frac{\log 2}{2}\,{\rm rk}(F)\chi(Y)+T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}},h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}).\end{aligned} \tag{0.8}$$

The $0-$degree component of (0.8) is exactly the gluing formula of Brüning and Ma [11, (0.22)] in the case with product structures. In [36], we have proved this formula under the assumption that there exists a fiberwise Morse function on the fibration.

A way to prove the gluing formula (0.8) is through the adiabatic limit method which have been used in the research of the gluing problem of $\eta-$invariant by Douglas and Wojciechowski [15] (cf. also [31]). The general case of the gluing problem of $\eta-$invariant was solved by Bunke [12] by adiabatic method. Formally speaking, the adiabatic method is a limiting process that one stretches the original manifold along the normal direction of certain hypersurface into two manifolds with cylinder ends of infinite length. Because of some difficulties in analysis, we still need a topological condition that the fiberwise cohomology groups of the boundary fibration $\pi:X\to S$ are vanishing, i.e.,

$$H^\bullet(Y_b,F)=0,\quad \text{for all } b\in S. \tag{0.9}$$

The assumption (0.9) is equivalent to the vanishing of the kernel of fiberwise Dirac operator D^Y, i.e., $\mathrm{Ker}\left(D^{Y_b}\right)=0$, for all $b\in S$. Now we state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 0.2. *The following identity holds in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$ under the condition (0.9)*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T}(T^HM,g^{TZ},h^F)-\mathscr{T}_{\rm abs}(T^HM_1,g^{TZ_1},h^F)\\ -\mathscr{T}_{\rm rel}(T^HM_2,g^{TZ_2},h^F)=T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}},h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}).\end{aligned} \tag{0.10}$$

In order to prove Theorem 0.2, we use some smooth diffeomorphisms (see Lemma 1.12) to stretch the original fibrations M, M_1 and M_2 linearly along the normal direction of the cutting hypersurface X to obtain the stretched fibrations denoted by M_R, $M_{1,R}$ and $M_{2,R}$. We represent the relation between M_R, $M_{1,R}$ and $M_{2,R}$ as in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1.

We have an exact sequences of flat vector bundles on S parameterized by $R \geq 0$

$$\mathscr{H}_R : \quad \cdots \to H^p(Z_R, F) \to H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R) \to H^{p+1}(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R) \to \cdots . \tag{0.11}$$

Thanks to the anomaly formulas (cf. [6, Thm. 3.24], Theorem 1.5), we have an important identity holding in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$ in the process of adiabatic limit

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F) \\ &\qquad - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F) - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}) \\ &= \mathscr{T}(T^H M_R, g^{TZ_R}, h^{F_R}) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_{1,R}, g^{TZ_{1,R}}, h^{F_R}) \\ &\qquad - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_{2,R}, g^{TZ_{2,R}}, h^{F_R}) - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h^{\mathscr{H}_R}_{L^2}). \end{aligned} \tag{0.12}$$

To prove Theorem 0.2, we only need to compute the limit of the right side of (0.12) when $R \to \infty$, which will be divided into three parts to treat that are the small time contribution $S(R)$ (see (2.6)), the large time contribution $L(R)$ (see (2.7)) and the torsion form $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}_R}_{L^2})$.

For the adiabatic limit of small time contribution $S(R)$, which will be shown to be vanished, we make use of an ideal of Atiyah, Patodi and Singer in [2], while the main tools are the Duhamel's principle and the finite propagation speed property for the wave equation. Under the assumption (0.9), there exists a uniform spectral gap, for all $R > 0$ large enough, of the fiberwise Dirac operators D^{Z_R}, $D^{Z_{1,R}}$ and $D^{Z_{2,R}}$ bounded away from 0. This spectral gap permits us to show that the adiabatic limit of large time contribution $L(R)$ also vanishes. Finally, we show that the exact sequence $\mathscr{H}_R$ of flat vector bundles in (0.11) is asymptotically split when $R \to \infty$, as a consequence of which the adiabatic limit of $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}_R}_{L^2})$ vanishes.

The whole paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we introduce some preliminaries for the gluing problem of Bismut-Lott torsion form and describe the adiabatic method approach to solve it by using the anomaly formulas. In Section 2, we state our main theorem and treat the small time contribution in the process of adiabatic limit. In Section

3, we treat the large time contribution in the process of adiabatic limit. In Section 4, we deal with the limit of the torsion forms $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R})$, when $R \to \infty$.

Acknowledgments. This paper is the second part of the author's Ph.D. thesis at Université Paris Diderot-Paris 7. He would like to thank his Ph.D. advisor Professor Xiaonan Ma for giving him patient instruction and constant encouragement during the process of completing this thesis.

1. Anomaly formula and gluing problem of Bismut-Lott torsion forms

In this section, we will introduce the geometric background for the gluing problem of Bismut-Lott torsion forms and describe the adiabatic approach to solve this problem.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 1.1, we introduce some geometric objects for a fibration with boundary. In Section 1.2, we recall the Bismut-Lott superconnection. In Section 1.3, we establish the anomaly formulas of Bismut-Lott torsion forms in the case with boundary. In Section 1.4, we establish some technical tools on the estimates of heat kernels on the stretched fibration M_R with boundary for $R \geq 0$. In Section 1.5, we formulate the gluing problem of Bismut-Lott torsion forms in detail. In Section 1.6, we construct a diffeomorphism $\phi_R : M \to M_R$. In Section 1.7, we make use of the anomaly formulas and the diffeomorphism ϕ_R to establish the important identity (0.12) in the process of adiabatic limit.

1.1. Smooth fibration with boundaries and fibration with cylinder end.

Let S be a compact smooth manifold of dimension n. Let TS be the tangent bundle of S and T^*S be the cotangent bundle. For a vector bundle F on S, let $\Omega^j(S, F)$ be the space of F-valued smooth differential $j-$forms on S, $\Omega(S, F) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} \Omega^j(S, F)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(S) = \Omega^\bullet(S, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded complex vector bundle over S with a flat connection $\nabla^E = \nabla^{E_+} \oplus \nabla^{E_-}$, i.e., the curvature $(\nabla^{E_\pm})^2$ is zero. By definition, a Hermitian metric h^E on $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded bundle E is a Hermitian metric such that E_+ and E_- are orthogonal.

Let $(\nabla^E)^*$ be the adjoint of ∇^E with respect to h^E. Let

$$\omega(E, h^E) = (\nabla^E)^* - \nabla^E = (h^E)^{-1}\nabla^E h^E \in \Omega^1(S, \mathrm{End}(E)). \tag{1.1}$$

Let $\varphi : \Omega(S) \to \Omega(S)$ be the linear map such that for all $\beta \in \Omega^k(S)$,

$$\varphi\beta = (2i\pi)^{-k/2}\beta. \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we always set

$$f(a) = a \exp(a^2), \tag{1.3}$$

which is a homomorphic odd function over $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.1. Put

$$f(\nabla^E, h^E) = (2i\pi)^{1/2}\varphi \,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[f\left(\frac{\omega(E, h^E)}{2}\right)\right] \in \Omega(S), \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_s[\cdot, \cdot] := \mathrm{Tr}\,|_{E_+} - \mathrm{Tr}\,|_{E_-}$ denotes the supertrace (cf. [3]). It is a real, odd and closed form and its de Rham cohomology class does not depend on the choice of h^E (cf. [6, Theorems 1.8, 1.11]).

Definition 1.2. Let h'^E be another Hermitian metric on E. As [6, Def. 1.12], we define

$$\widetilde{f}(\nabla^E, h^E, h'^E) = \int_0^1 \varphi \,\mathrm{Tr}_s \left[\frac{1}{2}(h_l^E)^{-1}\frac{\partial h_l^E}{\partial l} f'\big(\frac{\omega(E, h_l^E)}{2}\big)\right] dl \in Q^S/Q^{S,0}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $h_l^E, l \in [0,1]$ is a smooth path of metrics on E such that $h_0^E = h^E$ and $h_1^E = h'^E$.

Then from [6, Thm. 1.11], we get

$$d\widetilde{f}(\nabla^E, h^E, h'^E) = f(\nabla^E, h'^E) - f(\nabla^E, h^E). \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, the class $\widetilde{f}(\nabla^E, h^E, h'^E) \in Q^S/Q^{S,0}$ does not depend on the choice of the path h_l^E.

Let $\pi : M \to S$ be a smooth fibration with boundary $X := \partial M$, and its standard fiber Z is a compact manifold. We assume that the boundary X of M is a smooth fibration denoted by $\pi_\partial : X \to S$ with fiber Y such that $Y = \partial Z$.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a compact manifold and I (not be a point) be an interval of $\mathbb{R}$, we set $X_I := X \times I$, for example $X_{[-R,R]} = X \times [-R, R]$, $X_{\mathbb{R}} = X \times (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Let $X_{[-\varepsilon,0]}$ be a product neighborhood of X, and we identify ∂M with $X \times \{0\}$. Let TM be the tangent bundle of M. Let TZ be the vertical subbundle of TM. Let $T^H M$ be the horizontal subbundle of TM verifying the assumption of product structure (0.2) on $X_{[-\varepsilon,0]}$, then we have $TM = TZ \oplus T^H M$. Let TY be the vertical tangent bundle of the fibration X, then it's a subbundle of TZ restricted on X. Let N be the normal bundle of $X \subset M$, i.e., $N := TM/TX$, then by our assumption we have $TZ/TY \cong N$. We note that in our case N is a trivial oriented line bundle on X (cf. [9, p.54, p.66]).

Let g^{TZ} be a metric on TZ verifying the assumption of product structure (0.3) on $X_{[-\varepsilon,0]}$, let g^{TY} be the metric on TY induced by g^{TZ}. Using the metric g^{TZ}, we identify N with the orthogonal complement of TY in TZ, thus we have $TZ|_X = TY \oplus N$.

Let (F, ∇^F) be a flat complex vector bundle on M with a flat connection ∇^F, i.e., $(\nabla^F)^2 = 0$. Let h^F be a Hermitian metric on F. We trivialize F by ∇^F as in (0.4) on $X_{[-\varepsilon,0]}$ and assume that h^F verifies the assumption of product structure (0.5) on $X_{[-\varepsilon,0]}$.

1.2. **Bismut-Lott superconnection form.** Let $\Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)$ be the infinite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}-$graded vector bundle over S whose fiber is $\Omega^\bullet(Z_b, F|_{Z_b})$ at $b \in S$. That is

$$\Omega^\bullet(M, F) = \Omega^\bullet(S, \Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)). \tag{1.7}$$

Let $o(TZ)$ be the orientation bundle of TZ (cf. [9, p.88]), which is a flat real line bundle on M. Let dv_Z be the Riemannian volume form on fibers Z associated to g^{TZ}, which is a section of $\Lambda^m(T^*Z) \otimes o(TZ)$ over M. The metrics g^{TZ} and h^F induce a Hermitian metric on $\Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)$ such that for $s, s' \in \Omega^\bullet(Z_b, F|_{Z_b})$, $b \in S$,

$$\langle s, s'\rangle_{h^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)}}(b) := \int_{Z_b} \langle s, s'\rangle_{g^{\Lambda(T^*Z)\otimes F}}(x) dv_{Z_b}(x). \tag{1.8}$$

Let P^{TZ} denote the projection from $TM = T^H M \oplus TZ$ to TZ. For $U \in TS$, let U^H be the horizontal lift of U in $T^H M$, so that $\pi_* U^H = U$.

Definition 1.4. For $s \in C^\infty(S, \Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z))$ and $U \in TS$, the Lie derivative L_{U^H} acts on $C^\infty(S, \Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z))$. Then $\nabla_U^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)} s := L_{U^H} s$ defines a connection on $\Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)$ preserving the $\mathbb{Z}-$grading.

Let d^Z be the exterior differentiation along fibers (Z, F, ∇^F). If $U_1, U_2 \in TS$, we put

$$T(U_1, U_2) = -P^{TZ}[U_1^H, U_2^H] \in C^\infty(M, TZ), \tag{1.9}$$

then T is a tensor, i.e., $T \in C^\infty(M, \pi^*\Lambda^2(T^*S) \otimes TZ)$. Let i_T be the interior multiplication by T in the vertical direction.

The flat connection ∇^F extends naturally to be an exterior differential operator d^M acting on $\Omega^\bullet(M, F)$, then it defines a flat superconnection of total degree 1 on $\Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)$. By [6, Prop. 3.4], we have the following identity

$$d^M = d^Z + \nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)} + i_T. \tag{1.10}$$

Let $(\nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)})^*$, $(d^M)^*$, $(i_T)^*$, $(d^Z)^*$ be the formal adjoints of $\nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)}$, d^M, i_T, d^Z with respect to the Hermitian metric $h^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)}$ in (1.8). Set

$$D^Z = d^Z + (d^Z)^*, \quad \nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z),u} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)} + (\nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)})^*). \tag{1.11}$$

Then the Hodge Laplacian associated to g^{TZ} and h^F along the fibers Z is

$$(D^Z)^2 = d^Z(d^Z)^* + (d^Z)^* d^Z : \Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z) \to \Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z). \tag{1.12}$$

Let N be the number operator on $\Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)$, i.e., it acts by multiplication by k on $\Omega^k(Z, F|_Z)$. For $t > 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} &C_t' = t^{N/2} d^M t^{-N/2}, \quad C_t'' = t^{-N/2}(d^M)^* t^{N/2}, \\ &C_t = \frac{1}{2}(C_t' + C_t''), \qquad D_t = \frac{1}{2}(C_t'' - C_t'). \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Then C_t'' is the adjoint of C_t' with respect to $h^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)}$. We note that C_t is a superconnection and D_t is an odd element of $\Omega(S, \mathrm{End}(\Omega^\bullet(Z, F|_Z)))$. Moreover, we have

$$C_t^2 = -D_t^2. \tag{1.14}$$

Let g^{TS} be a Riemannian metric on TS, then $g^{TM} = \pi^* g^{TS} \oplus g^{TZ}$ defines a Riemannian metric on $TM = T^H M \oplus TZ$. Let ∇^{TM} denote the Levi-Civita connection on TM. Then

$$\nabla^{TZ} = P^{TZ} \nabla^{TM} \tag{1.15}$$

defines a connection on TZ, which is independent of the choice of g^{TS} (cf. [4, Def. 1.6, Thm. 1.9]).

For $X \in TZ$, let $X^* \in T^*Z$ be the dual of X by the metric g^{TZ}. Set

$$c(X) = X^* \wedge - \, i_X, \quad \widehat{c}(X) = X^* \wedge + \, i_X, \tag{1.16}$$

where $i_\cdot$ denotes the interior multiplication.

By [6, Prop. 3.9], we get

$$C_t = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{2} D^Z + \nabla^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z),u} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} c(T), \tag{1.17}$$

which is essentially the same as the Bismut superconnection (cf. [4, §III.a)]).

For any $t>0$, the operator D_t in (1.13) is a first-order fiberwise-elliptic differential operator, then $f(D_t)$ is a fiberwise trace class operator. For $t>0$, we put:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(C'_t, h^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)}) = (2i\pi)^{1/2}\varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s[f(D_t)] \in \Omega(S),\\
&f^\wedge(C'_t, h^{\Omega^\bullet(Z,F|_Z)}) := \varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s\left[\frac{N}{2}f'(D_t)\right] = \varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s\left[\frac{N}{2}(1+2D_t^2)e^{D_t^2}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.18}
$$

1.3. **Analytic torsion forms of boundary case and anomaly formulas.** Let $H(Z,F)$ (resp. $H(Z,Y,F)$) be the flat vector bundle of fiberwise absolute (resp. relative) cohomology groups with the canonical connection $\nabla^{H(Z,F)}$ (resp. $\nabla^{H(Z,Y,F)}$)(cf. [36, §1.3]). Let $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) \in \Omega(S)$ (resp. $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F)$) be the Bismut-Lott torsion forms with absolute (resp. relative) boundary conditions introduced in [36, Def. 1.19].

Now we describe how the torsion forms depend on their arguments. Let (T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) and (T'^HM, g'^{TZ}, h'^F) be two triples, such that they satisfy the assumption of product structures (0.6) on the same product neighborhood $X_{[-\varepsilon',0]}$ of $X \subset M$. We will mark the objects associated to the second triple with a'.

We define a differential form associated to g^{TZ} along the fibers by:

$$
e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}) := (-1)^{m/2}\mathrm{Pf}(R^{TZ}) = (-1)^{m/2}\int^{B_Z} \exp(R^{TZ}).
\tag{1.19}
$$

We connect g^{TZ} and g'^{TZ} linearly by a path $g_s^{TZ} = sg'^{TZ} + (1-s)g^{TZ}$, which still satisfy the assumption (0.6) of product structures for each $s \in [0,1]$. Let ∇_s^{TZ} be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g_s^{TZ} (see (1.15)) and its curvature is denoted by R_s^{TZ}. Then we define in $Q^M/Q^{M,0}$ (cf. [35, Prop. 3.6])

$$
\widetilde{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}, \nabla'^{TZ}) = (-1)^{m/2}\int_0^1 \int^{B_Z} \frac{d\nabla_s^{TZ}}{ds}\exp(R_s^{TZ})ds.
\tag{1.20}
$$

This differential form $\widetilde{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}, \nabla'^{TZ})$ is of degree $\dim(Z)-1$ such that

$$
d\widetilde{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}, \nabla'^{TZ}) = e(TZ, \nabla'^{TZ}) - e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}).
\tag{1.21}
$$

If $\dim(Z)$ is odd, we take $\widetilde{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}, \nabla'^{TZ})$ to be zero. For the exact definition of the secondary Euler class in the sense of Chern-Simons, the reader can refer to [10, Prop. 2.7].

Now we establish the anomaly formula for Bismut-Lott's torsion form in boundary case.

Theorem 1.5. *If (T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) and (T'^HM, g'^{TZ}, h'^F) verify the assumption of product structures* (see (0.6)) *on the same neighborhood $X_{[-\varepsilon',0]}$, then the following identity holds in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$ for absolute or relative boundary conditions*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs/rel}}(T'^HM, g'^{TZ}, h'^F) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs/rel}}(T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F)\\
&= \int_Z \widetilde{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}, \nabla'^{TZ})f(\nabla^F, h^F) + \int_Z e(TZ, \nabla'^{TZ})\widetilde{f}(\nabla^F, h^F, h'^F)\\
&\pm \frac{1}{2}\int_Y \widetilde{e}(TY, \nabla^{TY}, \nabla'^{TY})f(\nabla^F, h^F) \pm \frac{1}{2}\int_Y e(TY, \nabla'^{TY})\widetilde{f}(\nabla^F, h^F, h'^F)\\
&\qquad - \widetilde{f}(\nabla^{H_{\mathrm{abs/rel}}(Z,F)}, h^{H_{\mathrm{abs/rel}}(Z,F)}, h'^{H_{\mathrm{abs/rel}}(Z,F)}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.22}
$$

where we denote $H_{\rm abs}(Z,F)=H(Z,F)$ *and* $H_{\rm rel}(Z,F)=H(Z,Y,F)$.

Proof. First, a horizontal distribution on M is simply a splitting of the exact sequence

$$0\to TZ\to TM\to\pi^*TS\to 0.$$

As the space of splitting maps is affine, it follows that any pair of horizontal distributions can be connected by a smooth path of horizontal distributions. Let $s\in[0,1]$ parameterize a smooth path $\{T^H_sM\}$, $s\in[0,1]$ such that $T^H_0M=T^HM$ and $T^H_1M=T'^HM$. Similarly, we set for $s\in[0,1]$

$$g^{TZ}_s=sg'^{TZ}+(1-s)g^{TZ},\quad h^F_s=sh'^F+(1-s)h^F. \tag{1.23}$$

Let $\widetilde{\pi}:M_{[0,1]}\to S_{[0,1]}$ be the obvious projection, induced by $\pi:M\to S$, with fiber $\widetilde{Z}$. Let $\widetilde{X}=X\times[0,1]$. Let $\widetilde{F}$ be the lift of F to $M_{[0,1]}$.

Now $T^H(M_{[0,1]})|_{(0,s)}=T^H_sM\times\mathbb{R}$ defines a horizontal subbundle $T^H(M_{[0,1]})$ of $T(M_{[0,1]})$, and $T\widetilde{Z}$ and $\widetilde{F}$ are naturally equipped with metrics $g^{T\widetilde{Z}}$ and $h^{\widetilde{F}}$. Since for all $s\in[0,1]$ the metrics g^{TZ}_s and h^F_s also satisfy, respectively, the assumptions (0.3), (0.5) of product structures on the same product neighborhood $\widetilde{X}\times[-\varepsilon',0]$, by [36, Thm. 1.20], we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathscr{T}_{\rm bd}(T^HM,g^{T\widetilde{Z}},h^{\widetilde{F}})&=\int_{\widetilde{Z}}e(T\widetilde{Z},\nabla^{T\widetilde{Z}})f(\nabla^{\widetilde{F}},h^{\widetilde{F}})\\ &\quad+(-1)^{\mathbf{bd}}\frac{1}{2}\int_{\widetilde{Y}}e(T\widetilde{Y},\nabla^{T\widetilde{Y}})f(\nabla^{\widetilde{F}},h^{\widetilde{F}})-f(\nabla^{H_{\rm bd}(\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{F})},h^{H_{\rm bd}(\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{F})}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

Let $\widetilde{\sigma}:S_{[0,1]}\to S$ be the projection onto the first factor. Then there is an equality of pairs $\big(H(\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{F}),\nabla^{H(\widetilde{Z},\widetilde{F})}\big)=\sigma^*\big(H(Z,F),\nabla^{H(Z,F)}\big)$. The restriction of $\mathscr{T}_{\rm bd}(T^HM,g^{T\widetilde{Z}},h^{\widetilde{F}})$ to $S\times\{0\}$ (resp. $S\times\{1\}$) coincides with $\mathscr{T}_{\rm bd}(T^HM,g^{TZ},h^F)$ (resp. $\mathscr{T}_{\rm bd}(T^HM,g'^{TZ},h'^F)$). Comparing the $ds-$terms of the two sides of equation (1.24) and integrating with respect to s yields equation (1.22). □

Remark 1.6. As the proof of anomaly formulas in [32] for the manifolds with boundary, we should fix the normal vector of the boundary, when the vertical Riemannian metric g^{TZ} is changed, in order to have the same boundary conditions.

1.4. **Off-diagonal estimates and comparison of heat kernels.** Now we introduce a fibration with stretched cylinder end. Let M be a fibration with boundary X. For $R\geq 0$, we let

$$M_R=M\cup_X X_{[0,R]}, \tag{1.25}$$

to make a new fibration by adding a cylinder end of length R on $X\times(-\varepsilon,0]$ with fiber $Z_R:=Z\cup_Y Y_{[0,R]}$. The stretched fibration M_R has a cylinder end $X_{[-\varepsilon,R]}$. Then by a change of coordinates

$$X_{[-\varepsilon,R]}\longrightarrow X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]},\quad (x',u)\longmapsto(y',v)=(x',u-R), \tag{1.26}$$

we will always identify the cylinder end of M_R with $X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]}$, such that $\partial M=X\times\{0\}$.

Using the product structures (0.6), we extend T^HM, g^{TZ}, F, h^F and ∇^F naturally from M to M_R and denote the corresponding objects by T^HM_R, g^{TZ_R}, F_R, h^{F_R} and ∇^{F_R}. We note that these new objects also have the product structures on $X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]}$.

Let $\psi_{R+\varepsilon} : X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]} \to X$ be the projection on the first factor. By this extension, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g^{TZ_R}(x', x_m) = g^{TY}(x') \oplus dx_m^2, \quad (x', x_m) \in X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]}, \\ &h^{F_R} = \psi_{R+\varepsilon}^*\big(h^F\big)|_X, \quad \nabla^{F_R} = \psi_{R+\varepsilon}^*\big(\nabla^F\big)|_X \quad \text{on } X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

In this subsection we work on (M_R, F_R). Recall that

$$(D^{Z_R})^2 := d^{Z_R}(d^{Z_R})^* + (d^{Z_R})^* d^{Z_R}$$

is the fiberwise Hodge-Laplacian acting on $\Omega(Z_R, F_R|_{Z_R})$ with absolute or relative boundary conditions (cf. [36, (1.52)]). For $t > 0$, let $e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}$ be its heat operator with a smooth kernel denoted by $e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x, x')$, $x, x' \in Z_R$. Let $d(x, x')$ to denote the Riemannian distance between two points x, x' in Z_R with respect to g^{TZ_R}.

We have the off-diagonal estimates on the heat kernel $e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x, x')$.

Lemma 1.7. *There exists $c > 0$ such that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_l > 0$ such that for any $R \geq 0$, $t > 0$ and $x, x' \in Z_R$ with $d(x, x') \geq 1$, we have*

$$\big|e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x, x')\big|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{d^2(x,x')}{t}}. \tag{1.28}$$

Proof. Let $f(v)$ be an even smooth cut-off function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(v) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |v| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{for } |v| \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.29}$$

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $u > 0$, we denote that (cf. [27, Def. 1.6.3])

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(a) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos(va)\, e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} f\left(\sqrt{u}v\right) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \\ G_u(a) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos(va)\, e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} \left(1 - f\left(\sqrt{u}v\right)\right) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.30}$$

then we have

$$\exp\left(-t(D^{Z_R})^2\right) = F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R}) + G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R}). \tag{1.31}$$

Using the finite propagation speed of the wave operator (cf. [27, Appendix D.2]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R})(x, x') &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos\left(\sqrt{2t}vD^{Z_R}\right)(x, x') e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}} f\left(\frac{\sqrt{2t}v}{r}\right) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\ &= 0, \quad \text{if } \mathrm{d}(x, x') \geq r. \end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

Using integration by parts, for $r \geq 1$ and $t > 0$, we get (1.29)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}} |a^m| \cdot \big|G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}a)\big| \\
&\quad = \sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}} |a^m| \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cos(ua) e^{-\frac{u^2}{4t}} \left(1 - f(\frac{u}{r})\right) \frac{du}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \right| \\
&\quad \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_{|u|\geq \frac{r}{2}} \big| \frac{\partial^m}{\partial u^m} \big(e^{-\frac{u^2}{4t}}(1 - f(\frac{u}{r}))\big) \big| du \\
&\quad \leq C_m \int_{|u|\geq \frac{r}{2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{4t}} Q_1\big(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{t}\big) du \leq C_m \int_{|u|\geq \frac{r}{2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{4t}} Q_2\big(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{1}{r}\big) du \\
&\quad \leq C_m \int_{|u|\geq \frac{r}{2}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{8t}} Q_3(\frac{1}{r}) du \leq C_m e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

where Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 are certain polynomials with positive coefficients. (We note that to prove $Q_1\big(\frac{u}{t}, \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{t}\big) \leq Q_2\big(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{1}{r}\big)$ we have used the facts that $\frac{1}{t} \leq \frac{2u}{t}$ and $\frac{u}{t} \leq \frac{2u^2}{t} \leq 2(\frac{u}{\sqrt{t}})^2$.)

Let $H_R := (D^{Z_R})^2$, then by the spectral theorem and (1.33), for m_1, $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m_1,m_2} > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and $s \in \Omega(Z_R, F_R|_{Z_R})$

$$\Big\| H_R^{m_1} G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t} D^{Z_R}) H_R^{m_2} s \Big\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq C_{m_1,m_2} e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}} \|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)}. \tag{1.34}$$

Now applying [27, Thm. A.3.4], for m_1, $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathscr{R}$ a differential operator of order m_1 acting on $\Lambda(T^*Z_R) \otimes F_R$ over Z_R, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and $s \in \Omega(Z_R, F_R|_{Z_R})$,

$$\Big| \mathscr{R} G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t} D^{Z_R}) H_R^{m_2} s \Big|_{\mathscr{C}^0(Z_R)} \leq C e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}} \|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)}. \tag{1.35}$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\mathscr{R} G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t} D^{Z_R}) H_R^{m_2} s \Big)(x) \\
&\qquad = \int_{Z_R} \big(H_{R,x'}^{m_2} \mathscr{R}_x G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t} D^{Z_R})(x, x') \big) s(x') dv_{Z_R}(x'),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.36}$$

here $H_{R,x'}$ acts on $(\Lambda(T^*Z_R) \otimes F_R)^*$ by identifying $(\Lambda(T^*Z_R) \otimes F_R)^*$ to $\Lambda(T^*Z_R) \otimes F_R$ through the metric. Thus uniformly of $x \in Z_R$, we have

$$\Big\| H_{R,\cdot}^{m_2} \mathscr{R}_x G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t} D^{Z_R})(x, \cdot) \Big\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq C_{m_1,m_2} e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}}. \tag{1.37}$$

Let $m_1 + m_2 \geq m + l$, by applying Sobolev inequality and elliptic estimates to $x'-$variable, from (1.37), we get for $x, x' \in Z_R$

$$\Big| G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t} D^{Z_R})(x, x') \Big|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}}, \tag{1.38}$$

where we note that the constants are uniform with respect to $R \geq 1$ in the Sobolev inequalities and elliptic estimates, since in our case all the local geometry data are independent of R. Then the inequality (1.28) follows from (1.31), (1.32) and (1.38) by setting $r = d(x, x')$. The proof has been completed. □

Let U_R be compact subset of Z_R. Let $(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2$ be another Hodge-Laplacian such that

$$(D^{Z_R})^2 = (\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2 \qquad \text{on } U_R \subset Z_R. \tag{1.39}$$

Then we can compare the two heat kernels $e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x,x')$ and $e^{-t(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2}(x,x')$ on a smaller compact subset of U_R.

Remark 1.8. In our application of the following lemma, the operator $(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2$ will be taken as $(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2$ (resp. $(D^{Z_{2,R}})^2$) in Lemma 4.8. And U_R will be taken into the interior part of $Z_{1,R}$ (resp. $Z_{2,R}$) away from the boundary by a distance depending on R. So we can suppose that all the geometric data that we used to define $(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2$ are locally independent of R.

Lemma 1.9. *As in Remark 1.8, we assume that all the geometric data used to define $(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2$ are locally independent of R. There exists $c>0$, such that for any $l\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_l>0$ such that for any $t>0$, $R\geq 0$, $r\geq 1$ and $x,x'\in K=\{x\in U|\, d(x,\partial U)\geq r>0\}$*

$$\left|e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x,x') - e^{-t(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2}(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-cr^2/t}. \tag{1.40}$$

Proof. By (1.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\big(-t(D^{Z_R})^2\big) &= F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R}) + G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R}),\\ \exp\big(-t(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2\big) &= F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}\widetilde{D}^{Z_R}) + G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}\widetilde{D}^{Z_R}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.41}$$

Let $B^{Z_R}(x,r)$ be the open ball in Z_R with center x and radius r. Since for $x,x'\in Z_R$, $F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R})(x,x')$ (resp. $F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})(x,x')$) only depends on the restriction of D^{Z_R} (resp. $\widetilde{D}^{Z_R}$) to $B^{Z_R}(x,r)$ (cf. [27, Appendix D.2]), we have

$$F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R})(x,x') - F_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})(x,x') = 0. \tag{1.42}$$

As the proof of (1.38), there exists $c>0$, such that for any $l\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_l>0$ such that for any $R\geq 1$, $t>0$, $r\geq 1$ and $x',x\in Z_R$,

$$\left|G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R})(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}},\ \left|G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}}. \tag{1.43}$$

By (1.41), (1.42) and (1.43), we get for any $x',x\in K$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x,x') - e^{-t(\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})^2}(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l}\\ =&\left|G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}D^{Z_R})(x,x') - G_{2t/r^2}(\sqrt{2t}\widetilde{D}^{Z_R})(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{r^2}{t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.44}$$

From (1.44), we get (1.40). The proof is completed. □

1.5. **The gluing problem of analytic torsion forms.** Recall that M, M_1 and M_2 are the fibrations described in (0.1). For $\varepsilon>0$, we **assume** that (T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) verify the assumption of product structures (0.6) on the product neighborhood $X_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}$ of X in M.

From now on, we always apply the absolute boundary conditions to (M_1,X) and the relative boundary conditions to (M_2,X).

Let F^* be the dual flat vector bundle of F. Let $H_\bullet(Z,F^*)=\bigoplus_{p=0}^m H_p(Z,F^*)$ (resp. $H_\bullet(Z_1,F^*)$, $H_\bullet(Z_2,Y,F^*)$) denote the singular homology of Z (resp. Z_1, (Z_2,Y)) with

coefficients in F^*, and let $H^\bullet(Z,F)=\bigoplus_{p=0}^m H^p(Z,F)$ (resp. $H^\bullet(Z_1,F)$, $H^\bullet(Z_2,Y,F)$) denote the singular cohomology of Z (resp. Z_1, (Z_2,Y)) with coefficients in F. Then for $0\leq p\leq m$, we have canonical identifications

$$\begin{aligned}&H_p(Z,F^*)=(H^p(Z,F))^*,\quad H_p(Z_1,F^*)=(H^p(Z_1,F))^*,\\&H_p(Z_2,Y,F^*)=(H^p(Z_2,Y,F))^*.\end{aligned}\tag{1.45}$$

Definition 1.10. For $\varepsilon>0$, let $\mathbb{K}_Z$ be the smooth triangulation of Z, such that it induces smooth sub-triangulations of Y, $Y_{[-\varepsilon,0]}$, $Y_{[0,\varepsilon]}$, Z_1, Z_2 denoted by $\mathbb{K}_Y$, $\mathbb{K}_{Y_{[-\varepsilon,0]}}$, $\mathbb{K}_{Y_{[0,\varepsilon]}}$, $\mathbb{K}_{Z_1}$, $\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}$.

The smooth triangulations $\mathbb{K}_Z$ (resp. $\mathbb{K}_{Z_i}$) consists of a finite set of simplex, $\mathfrak{a}$, whose orientation is fixed once and for all. Let B be the finite subset of Z of the barycenters of the simplexes in $\mathbb{K}_Z$. Let $b:\mathbb{K}_Z\to B$ and $\sigma:B\to\mathbb{K}_Z$ denote the obvious one-to-one maps. For $0\leq p\leq m$, $i=1,2$, let $\mathbb{K}_Z^p$ (resp. $\mathbb{K}_{Z_i}^p$) be the union of the simplexes in $\mathbb{K}_Z$ of dimension $\leq p$, such that for $0\leq p\leq m$, $\mathbb{K}_Z^p\backslash\mathbb{K}_Z^{p-1}$ (resp. $\mathbb{K}_{Z_i}^p\backslash\mathbb{K}_{Z_i}^{p-1}$) is the union of simplexes of dimension p. If $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathbb{K}_Z$, let $[\mathfrak{a}]$ be the real line generated by $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $(C_\bullet(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*),\partial)$ be the complex of simplicial chains in $\mathbb{K}_Z$ with values in F^*. For $0\leq p\leq m$, $i=1,2$, we define

$$C_p(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*):=\sum_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathbb{K}_Z^p\backslash\mathbb{K}_Z^{p-1}}[\mathfrak{a}]\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}F^*_{b(\mathfrak{a})}.\tag{1.46}$$

The boundary operator ∂ sends $C_p(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*)$ into $C_{p-1}(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*)$. Set

$$C_\bullet\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F^*\right):=C_\bullet(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*)/C_\bullet(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F^*).\tag{1.47}$$

The homologies of the complexes $\left(C_\bullet(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F^*),\partial\right)$ and $\left(C_\bullet\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F^*\right),\partial\right)$ are canonically identified with the singular homologies, respectively, $H_\bullet(Z_1,F^*)$ and $H_\bullet(Z_2,Y,F^*)$. Naturally, we have a short exact sequence of chain groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}0&\longrightarrow&C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F^*\right)&\xrightarrow{i_p}&C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*\right)&\xrightarrow{j_p}&C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F^*\right)&\longrightarrow&0\,.\\&&\downarrow\partial&&\downarrow\partial&&\downarrow\partial&&\end{array}\tag{1.48}$$

If $\mathfrak{a}\in\mathbb{K}_Z$, let $[\mathfrak{a}]^*$ be the line dual to the line $[\mathfrak{a}]$. Let $\left(C^\bullet(\mathbb{K}_Z,F),\widetilde{\partial}\right)$ be the complex dual to the complex $\left(C_\bullet(\mathbb{K}_Z,F^*),\partial\right)$. In particular, for $0\leq p\leq m$, $i=1,2$, we have the identity

$$C^p(\mathbb{K}_Z,F)=\sum_{\mathfrak{a}\in\mathbb{K}_Z^p\backslash\mathbb{K}_Z^{p-1}}[\mathfrak{a}]^*\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}F_{b(\mathfrak{a})}.\tag{1.49}$$

Let $\left(C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F\right),\widetilde{\partial}\right)$ be the dual complex of $\left(C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F^*\right),\partial\right)$ and $\left(C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F\right),\widetilde{\partial}\right)$ be the dual complex of $\left(C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F^*\right),\partial\right)$. Naturally, we have the following short exact sequence of cochain groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}&&\widetilde{\partial}\uparrow&&\widetilde{\partial}\uparrow&&\widetilde{\partial}\uparrow&&\\0&\longrightarrow&C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F\right)&\xrightarrow{j_p^*}&C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_Z,F\right)&\xrightarrow{i_p^*}&C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F\right)&\longrightarrow&0,\end{array}\tag{1.50}$$

where i_p^*, j_p^* denote the dual maps of i_p, j_p. The double complex (1.50) yields a long exact sequence $(\mathscr{H}, \delta)$ of cohomology groups, i.e.,

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^p(Z,F) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^p(Z_1,F) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{p+1}(Z_2,Y,F) \xrightarrow{\delta} \cdots . \tag{1.51}$$

Definition 1.11. (De Rham map) Let $\sigma \in \Omega^\bullet(Z,F), \mathfrak{a} \in C_\bullet(\mathbb{K}_Z, F^*)$, we define a map $P^\infty : \Omega^\bullet(Z,F) \to C^\bullet(\mathbb{K}_Z, F)$ by

$$P^\infty(\sigma)(\mathfrak{a}) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} \sigma\,. \tag{1.52}$$

Similarly, we can define $P_1^\infty : \Omega^\bullet(Z_1,F) \to C^\bullet(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1}, F)$ and $P_2^\infty : \Omega^\bullet(Z_2,Y,F) \to C^\bullet(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y, F)$.

The map (1.52) induces isomorphisms from the bundle of harmonic forms to the bundle of cohomology group

$$\begin{aligned} &P^\infty : \mathscr{H}^p(Z,F) \cong H^p(Z,F) \\ &(\text{resp. } P_1^\infty : \mathscr{H}^p(Z_1,F) \cong H^p(Z_1,F),\ P_2^\infty : \mathscr{H}^p(Z_2,Y,F) \cong H^p(Z_2,Y,F)\,). \end{aligned} \tag{1.53}$$

1.6. **The stretching diffeomorphisms.** For $R \geq 0$, let

$$M_{1,R} := M_1 \cup_X X_{[0,R]}, \quad M_{2,R} := M_2 \cup_X X_{[-R,0]}. \tag{1.54}$$

Then $M_{1,R}$ has a cylinder end $X_{[-\varepsilon,R]}$ and $M_{2,R}$ has a cylinder end $X_{[-R,\varepsilon]}$. Then by change of coordinates as in (1.26), we will always identify the cylinder end of $M_{1,R}$ with $X_{[-R-\varepsilon,0]}$ and that of $M_{2,R}$ with $X_{[0,R+\varepsilon]}$. So we will identify X with the common boundary $X \times \{0\}$ for both $M_{1,R}$ and $M_{2,R}$. Set

$$M_R = M_{1,R} \cup_X M_{2,R}, \tag{1.55}$$

then M_R has a cylinder part $X_{[-R-\varepsilon,R+\varepsilon]}$ (see Figure 1).

To apply the adiabatic methods, for $i = 1, 2$, we begin to construct diffeomorphisms $\phi_R : M \to M_R$ and $\phi_{i,R} : M_i \to M_{i,R}$.

Lemma 1.12. *There exist a diffeomorphism $\phi_R : M \longrightarrow M_R$ such that:*
(1) *The diffeomorphism ϕ_R restricted on the submanifold $M \setminus X_{(-\frac{7\varepsilon}{8},\frac{7\varepsilon}{8})}$ is an identity map to $M_R \setminus X_{(-R+\frac{\varepsilon}{8},R-\frac{\varepsilon}{8})}$, and*

$$\phi_R : X_{(-\frac{7\varepsilon}{8},\frac{7\varepsilon}{8})} \to X_{(-R+\frac{\varepsilon}{8},R-\frac{\varepsilon}{8})} \text{ is an one-to-one map.} \tag{1.56}$$

(2) *The following diagrams are commutative,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\phi_R} & M_R, \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\pi_R} & \\ S & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X\times(-R,R) & \xrightarrow{\psi_R} & X. \\ {\scriptstyle\phi_R}\uparrow & \nearrow_{\psi_\varepsilon} & \\ X\times(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon) & & \end{array} \tag{1.57}$$

Proof. Let $\rho(x_m) : [0, \varepsilon] \to [0, 1]$ be a cut-off function, which is equal to 0 on $[0, \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon]$ and equal to 1 on $[\frac{2}{8}\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. Let $\chi(x_m) : [0, \varepsilon] \to [0, 1]$ be a cut-off function, which is equal to 0 on $[0, \frac{6}{8}\varepsilon]$ and equal to 1 on $[\frac{7}{8}\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. We put $g_R(x_m) = \frac{4R-\varepsilon}{3\varepsilon}x_m - \frac{R-\varepsilon}{6}$ and then define

$$h_R(x_m) = x_m\big(1 - \rho(x_m)\big) + \rho(x_m)g_R(x_m). \tag{1.58}$$

Then we set

$$\phi_R(x_m) = h_R(x_m)\big(1 - \chi(x_m)\big) + \chi(x_m)(x_m - \varepsilon + R). \tag{1.59}$$

It is easy to see that ϕ_R is a smooth function such that $\phi_R(0) = 0$ and $\phi_R(\varepsilon) = R$. We extend ϕ_R from $[0, \varepsilon]$ to $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ by setting $\phi_R(x_m) = -\phi_R(-x_m)$ for $x_m < 0$. Then we see that the extended ϕ_R, denoted with the same notation, is a smooth function on $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, such that

$$\phi_R(-\varepsilon) = -R,\ \phi_R(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_R(\varepsilon) = R. \tag{1.60}$$

We make a smooth function $\phi_R : X_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]} \to X_{[-R,R]}$ such that

$$\phi_R(y, x_m) := \big(y, \phi_R(x_m)\big), \quad \text{for } (y, x_m) \in X_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}, \tag{1.61}$$

and outside $X_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}$ in M, we define ϕ_R to be the identity map. By our construction of $\phi_R(x_m)$, we have for $R > \varepsilon$ and $x_m \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_R(x_m)}{\partial x_m} \geq 1. \tag{1.62}$$

From (1.60) and (1.62), we deduce that ϕ_R is a diffeomorphism. □

Let $\phi_{i,R} : M_i \longrightarrow M_{i,R}$ be the restriction of ϕ_R from M_R to $M_{i,R}$, for $i = 1, 2$.

By our assumptions of product structures, we have

$$\left(T^H M, F, h^F, \nabla^F\right)\big|_{X\times[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]} = \psi_\varepsilon^*\left(T^H M, F, h^F, \nabla^F\right)\big|_X. \tag{1.63}$$

As in Section 1.1, we can extend naturally all geometrical data from M, M_i to M_R, $M_{i,R}$ by using the assumptions of product structures near X on M, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(T^H M_R, F_R, h^{F_R}, \nabla^{F_R}\right)\big|_{X\times(-R-\varepsilon,R+\varepsilon)} = \psi_R^*\left(T^H M, F, h^F, \nabla^F\right)\big|_X, \\ &g^{TZ_R}(y, x_m) = g^{TY}(y) + dx_m^2, \qquad (y, x_m) \in X \times [-R, R]. \end{aligned} \tag{1.64}$$

We get the geometrical data for $M_{1,R}$ and $M_{2,R}$ by restrictions.

By our construction of ϕ_R in Lemma 1.12, for $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(T^H M, F, h^F, \nabla^F\right) = \phi_R^*\left(T^H M_R, F_R, h^{F_R}, \nabla^{F_R}\right) \\ &\text{(resp. } \left(T^H M_i, F, h^F, \nabla^F\right) = \phi_{i,R}^*\left(T^H M_{i,R}, F_R, h^{F_R}, \nabla^{F_R}\right)\text{)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.65}$$

Let

$$g_R^{TZ} := \phi_R^*\left(g^{TZ_R}\right) \quad \text{(resp. } g_R^{TZ_i} := \phi_{i,R}^*\left(g^{TZ_{i,R}}\right)\text{)}. \tag{1.66}$$

Then we get by (1.65)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{T}(T^H M_R, g^{TZ_R}, h^{F_R}) &= \mathscr{T}\left(\phi_R^*\left(T^H M_R\right), \phi_R^*\left(g^{TZ_R}\right), \phi_R^*\left(h^{F_R}\right)\right) \\ &= \mathscr{T}\left(T^H M, g_R^{TZ}, h^F\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.67}$$

and similarly we have by (1.65)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^HM_{1,R},g^{TZ_{1,R}},h^{F_R})&=\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}\left(T^HM_1,g_R^{TZ_1},h^F\right),\\ \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^HM_{2,R},g^{TZ_{2,R}},h^{F_R})&=\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}\left(T^HM_2,g_R^{TZ_2},h^F\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.68}$$

Remark 1.13. Through the above arguments, we see that in fact there are two equivalent geometrical settings in studying our problems, which can be transformed to each other by the stretching diffeomorphisms ϕ_R (resp. $\phi_{i,R}$, for $i=1,2$). The first one is the setting on the fibration M (resp. M_i) before the stretch with the following geometrical data:

$$h^F,\nabla^F,T^HM\text{ and }g_R^{TZ}\quad\left(\text{resp. }h^F,\nabla^F,T^HM_i\text{ and }g_R^{TZ_i}\right).\tag{1.69}$$

The second one is the setting on the stretched fibration M_R (resp. $M_{i,R}$) with the data:

$$h^{F_R},\nabla^{F_R},T^HM_R\text{ and }g^{TZ_R}\quad\left(\text{resp. }h^{F_R},\nabla^{F_R},T^HM_{i,R}\text{ and }g^{TZ_{i,R}}\right).\tag{1.70}$$

Moreover, we can compute g_R^{TZ} (resp. $g_R^{TZ_i}$) explicitly on the cylinder part $X_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$.

Lemma 1.14. *There exist smooth even functions $\lambda_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_0$ on $[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$ with supports in $[-\frac{7}{8}\varepsilon,-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}]\cup[\frac{\varepsilon}{8},\frac{7}{8}\varepsilon]$ such that for $(y,x_m)\in X_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$*

$$g_R^{TZ}=g^{TZ}(y,x_m)+\left(\lambda_0(x_m)+\lambda_1(x_m)R+\lambda_2(x_m)R^2\right)dx_m^2.\tag{1.71}$$

Moreover, for $i=1,2$, we have the same expression for $g_R^{TZ_i}:=\left(g_R^{TZ}\right)|_{Z_i}$.

Proof. By (1.59) and (1.61), we get that for $(y,x_m)\in X_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$

$$g_R^{TZ}(y,x_m)=g^{TY}(y)+\left(\frac{\partial\phi_R}{\partial x_m}\right)^2dx_m^2.\tag{1.72}$$

By our construction of $\phi_R(x_m)$, we see that $\frac{\partial\phi_R}{\partial x_m}$ is an even smooth function depending linearly on R, moreover we have $\frac{\partial\phi_R}{\partial x_m}=1$ for $x_m\in[-\varepsilon,-\frac{7\varepsilon}{8}]\cup[-\frac{\varepsilon}{8},\frac{\varepsilon}{8}]\cup[\frac{7\varepsilon}{8},\varepsilon]$. Consequently, there exist two smooth even functions $\mu_1(x_m)$, $\mu_0(x_m):[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]\to[0,\infty)$ with support in $[-\frac{7}{8}\varepsilon,-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}]\cup[\frac{\varepsilon}{8},\frac{7}{8}\varepsilon]$ such that (see (1.62))

$$\frac{\partial\phi_R}{\partial x_m}=1+\mu_0(x_m)+\mu_1(x_m)\cdot R\geq 1.\tag{1.73}$$

Set $\lambda_2=\mu_1^2,\lambda_1=2\mu_1(1+\mu_0),\lambda_0=2\mu_0(1+\mu_0)$, then by (1.72) and (1.73), for $(y,x_m)\in X_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)}$

$$\begin{aligned}&g_R^{TZ}(y,x_m)=g^{TY}(y)+\left(1+\mu_0(x_m)+\mu_1(x_m)R\right)^2dx_m^2\\ =&g^{TZ}(y,x_m)+dx_m^2+\left(\lambda_0(x_m)+\lambda_1(x_m)R+\lambda_2(x_m)R^2\right)dx_m^2.\end{aligned}\tag{1.74}$$

Since ϕ_R is an identity map on $M\backslash X_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}$, (1.71) follows from (1.74). □

1.7. **An identity in the process of adiabatic limit.**

Definition 1.15. Recall that $\mathbb{K}_Z$ is the smooth triangulation of Z in Definition 1.15, then the stretching diffeomorphism $\phi_R:Z\longrightarrow Z_R$ induces a smooth triangulation $\mathbb{K}_{Z_R}$ of Z_R from $\mathbb{K}_Z$, such that there are smooth sub-triangulations $\mathbb{K}_Y$, $\mathbb{K}_{Z_{1,R}}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{Z_{2,R}}$ by our construction of ϕ_R.

Remark 1.16. Consequently, the volume of simplex in $\mathbb{K}_{Y_{[-R,R]}} = \mathbb{K}_{Y_{[-R,0]}} \cup \mathbb{K}_{Y_{[0,R]}}$ growths linearly with respect to the Riemannian volume form induced by g^{TZ_R} when R goes to infinity, while the volume of the other simplexes are unchanged.

Analogue to (1.75), we have the long exact sequence $(\mathscr{H}_R, \nabla^{\mathscr{H}_R})$ of flat vector bundles:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_R} H^p(Z_R, F_R) \xrightarrow{\delta_R} H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R) \xrightarrow{\delta_R} H^{p+1}(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R) \xrightarrow{\delta_R} \cdots . \tag{1.75}$$

Here we use $\nabla^{\mathscr{H}_R}$ to denote the canonical flat connection on $\mathscr{H}_R$ induced by ∇^F.

Let $P_R^\infty : \Omega(Z_R, F) \to C^\bullet(\mathbb{K}_{Z_R}, F)$, $P_{1,R}^\infty : \Omega(Z_{1,R}, F_R) \to C^\bullet\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{1,R}}, F_R\right)$ and $P_{2,R}^\infty : \Omega(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R) \to C^\bullet\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{2,R}}/\mathbb{K}_Y, F_R\right)$ be the de Rham maps introduced in Definition 1.11. They induce the isomorphisms between the space of harmonic forms and the cohomology groups, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} &P_R^\infty : \mathscr{H}^p(Z_R, F_R) \cong H^p(Z_R, F_R);\ P_{1,R}^\infty : \mathscr{H}^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R) \cong H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R);\\ &P_{2,R}^\infty : \mathscr{H}^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R) \cong H^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R). \end{aligned} \tag{1.76}$$

We use ∇_R^{TZ} (resp. $\nabla_R^{TZ_1}$, $\nabla_R^{TZ_2}$) to denote the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g_R^{TZ} (resp. $g_R^{TZ_1}$, $g_R^{TZ_2}$), and use $h_{L^2,R}^{H(Z,F)}$ (resp. $h_{L^2,R}^{H(Z_1,F)}$, $h_{L^2,R}^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}$) to denote the L^2-metric induced by g_R^{TZ} (resp. $g_R^{TZ_1}$, $g_R^{TZ_2}$) and h^F. By the construction of ϕ_R, $\phi_{1,R}$ and $\phi_{2,R}$ in Lemma 1.12 and (1.66), we see that

$$g_R^{TZ} = g_R^{TZ_1} \cup_X g_R^{TZ_2}. \tag{1.77}$$

By Lemma 1.14, we see that on $X_{(-\frac{\varepsilon}{8},0]}$ (resp. $X_{[0,\frac{\varepsilon}{8})}$) $g_R^{TZ_1}$ (resp. $g_R^{TZ_2}$) has the product structure (0.3), it means that they satisfy the condition of Theorem 1.5.

If we let $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F)$ (resp. $\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F)$) to denote the analytic torsion form on M_1 (resp. M_2) with absolute (relative) boundary conditions, then by [6, Thm. 3.24], Theorem 1.5, (1.67) and (1.68), we have in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{T}\left(T^H M_R, g^{TZ_R}, h^{F_R}\right) - \mathscr{T}\left(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F\right)\\ &\quad = \mathscr{T}\left(T^H M, g_R^{TZ}, h^F\right) - \mathscr{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F)\\ &\quad = \int_Z \widetilde{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}, \nabla_R^{TZ}) f(\nabla^F, h^F) - \widetilde{f}(\nabla^{H(Z,F)}, h_{L^2}^{H(Z,F)}, h_{L^2,R}^{H(Z,F)}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.78}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_{1,R}, g^{TZ_{1,R}}, h^{F_R}) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F)\\ &\quad = \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_1, g_R^{TZ_1}, h^F) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^H M_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F)\\ &\quad = \int_{Z_1} \widetilde{e}(TZ_1, \nabla^{TZ_1}, \nabla_R^{TZ_1}) f(\nabla^F, h^F) - \widetilde{f}(\nabla^{H(Z_1,F)}, h_{L^2}^{H(Z_1,F)}, h_{L^2,R}^{H(Z_1,F)}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.79}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_{2,R}, g^{TZ_{2,R}}, h^{F_R}) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F)\\ =&\mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_2, g_R^{TZ_2}, h^F) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^H M_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F)\\ =&\int_{Z_2} \widetilde{e}(TZ_2, \nabla^{TZ_2}, \nabla_R^{TZ_2}) f(\nabla^F, h^F) - \widetilde{f}(\nabla^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}, h_{L^2}^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}, h_{L^2,R}^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.80}$$

For the long exact sequence $(\mathscr{H}, \nabla^{\mathscr{H}})$ in (1.75) of flat vector bundles over S, by [6, Thm. 2.24] we have in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$

$$T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}) - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2,R}) = -\widetilde{f}(\nabla^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2,R}). \tag{1.81}$$

From [6, Def. 1.12], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &-\widetilde{f}\left(\nabla^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2,R}\right) = \widetilde{f}\left(\nabla^{H(Z,F)}, h^{H(Z,F)}_{L^2}, h^{H(Z,F)}_{L^2,R}\right) \\ &-\widetilde{f}\left(\nabla^{H(Z_1,F)}, h^{H(Z_1,F)}_{L^2}, h^{H(Z_1,F)}_{L^2,R}\right) - \widetilde{f}\left(\nabla^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}, h^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}_{L^2}, h^{H(Z_2,Y,F)}_{L^2,R}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.82}$$

Hence, from (1.20), (1.77)–(1.82), we obtain that in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{T}(T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^HM_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F) \\ &\qquad - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^HM_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F) - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2}) \\ &= \mathscr{T}(T^HM_R, g^{TZ_R}, h^{F_R}) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^HM_{1,R}, g^{TZ_{1,R}}, h^{F_R}) \\ &\qquad - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^HM_{2,R}, g^{TZ_{2,R}}, h^{F_R}) - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2,R}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.83}$$

For the long exact sequence $(\mathscr{H}_R, \nabla^{\mathscr{H}_R})$ of flat vector bundle over S introduced in (1.75), we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.17. *The following identity holds in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$*

$$T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h^{\mathscr{H}}_{L^2,R}) = T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h^{\mathscr{H}_R}_{L^2}). \tag{1.84}$$

Proof. We have the following flat double complex of complex vector bundles (cf. [6, Appendix I, (d)]) on S

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_{2,R}) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(Z_R, F_R) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^*_{2,R}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^*_R} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^*_{1,R}} & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(Z_2, Y, F) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(Z, F) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(Z_1, F) & \longrightarrow \cdots . \end{array} \tag{1.85}$$

Each line and column of (1.85) is exact. Since ϕ^*_R, $\phi^*_{1,R}$ and $\phi^*_{2,R}$ are isomormorphisms keeping the L^2-metrics, the identity (1.84) follows from [6, Thm. A1.4, Thm. A1.1, (c)]. The proof is completed. □

Using (1.83) and Lemma (1.17), we establish the important identity (0.12) in the process of adiabatic limit.

2. The gluing formula by using adiabatic limit methods

In this section under the assumption (0.9), we utilize the adiabatic method to prove the gluing formula (0.10) for the analytic torsion forms of Bismut-Lott. We will divide the right hand side of (0.12) into three parts and handle them separately.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we state our main result and divide the right hand side of (0.12) into three parts: small time contribution, large time contribution and $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h^{\mathscr{H}_R}_{L^2})$. In Section 2.2, we treat the small time contribution.

2.1. **The gluing formula when $H(Y,F)=0$.** In this section, we use the notation of Section 1.5. Recall that $\pi : M \to S$ is a fibration divided into two fibrations M_1 and M_2 by a hypersurface X. For $R>0$, the stretched fibrations $M_R, M_{1,R}, M_{2,R}$ are introduced in Section 1.6.

Set

$$h^{(p)} := \operatorname{rk} H^p(Z,F),\ h_1^{(p)} := \operatorname{rk} H^p(Z_1,F),\ h_2^{(p)} := \operatorname{rk} H^p(Z_2,Y,F). \tag{2.1}$$

By our assumption (0.9), we see that the long exact sequence $\mathscr{H}_R$ (see (1.75)) of flat vector bundles splits into the direct sum of short exact sequences: for $0\le p\le m$

$$\mathscr{H}_R^p : \quad 0 \longrightarrow H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R) \longrightarrow H^p(Z_R,F_R) \longrightarrow H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence we have in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$

$$T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^p T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R^p}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R^p}). \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we also get for $0\le p\le m$

$$h^{(p)} = h_1^{(p)} + h_2^{(p)}. \tag{2.4}$$

By [36, Def. 1.19], (0.12) and (2.4), we get in $Q^S/Q^{S,0}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathscr{T}(T^HM, g^{TZ}, h^F) - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{abs}}(T^HM_1, g^{TZ_1}, h^F) \\ &\qquad - \mathscr{T}_{\mathrm{rel}}(T^HM_2, g^{TZ_2}, h^F) - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}}) \\ &= -\int_0^{+\infty} \Big[f^\wedge(C'_{R,t}, h^{W_R}) - f^\wedge(C'_{1,R,t}, h^{W_{1,R}}) - f^\wedge(C'_{2,R,t}, h^{W_{2,R}}) \Big] \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\qquad - T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The rest part of Section 2 will be contributed to prove Theorem 0.2. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a small positive constant, we divide the integral at the right side of (2.5) into two parts: (1) The small time contribution:

$$S(R) := -\int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \Big[f^\wedge(C'_{R,t}, h^{W_R}) - f^\wedge(C'_{1,R,t}, h^{W_{1,R}}) - f^\wedge(C'_{2,R,t}, h^{W_{2,R}}) \Big] \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{2.6}$$

(2) The large time contribution:

$$L(R) := -\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \Big[f^\wedge(C'_{R,t}, h^{W_R}) - f^\wedge(C'_{1,R,t}, h^{W_{1,R}}) - f^\wedge(C'_{2,R,t}, h^{W_{2,R}}) \Big] \frac{dt}{t}. \tag{2.7}$$

2.2. **Adiabatic limit of the small time contribution $S(R)$.** In this section, we will compute the limit of the small time contribution (2.6).

Theorem 2.1. *Under the assumption (0.9), we have* $\lim_{R\to\infty} S(R) = 0$.

The main methods used to prove this theorem are the Duhamel's principle (cf. [3, §2.7]) and the finite propagation speed property of the wave operator (cf. [27, Appendix D.2]).

For $i = 1, 2$ and $t > 0$, we define $C_{R,t}, D_{R,t}$ (resp. $C_{i,R,t}, D_{i,R,t}$) in the same way as (1.13) for (M_R, F_R) (resp. $(M_{i,R}, F_{i,R})$), moreover we have

$$C_{R,t}^2 = -D_{R,t}^2, \quad C_{i,R,t}^2 = -D_{i,R,t}^2. \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly, for $\pi_\partial : X \to S$ with the objects $T^H X, g^{TY}, \nabla^{F|_X}, h^{F|_X}$ induced by $T^H M$, g^{TZ}, ∇^F, h^F, we define $\widetilde{C}_t$ and $\widetilde{D}_t$ for $t > 0$ as in (1.13). Then we have

$$\widetilde{C}_t^2 = -\widetilde{D}_t^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Definition 2.2. For $i = 1, 2$, we let

$$\mathscr{F}_R := 4C_{R,1}^2 = (D^{Z_R})^2 + \mathscr{F}_R^{[+]}, \quad \mathscr{F}_{i,R} := 4C_{i,R,1}^2 = (D^{Z_{i,R}})^2 + \mathscr{F}_{i,R}^{[+]}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $(D^{Z_R})^2$ (resp. $(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2$), the corresponding 0-degree part in $\Lambda(T^*S)$, is a smooth family of Hodge Laplacians along the fibers Z_R (resp. $Z_{i,R}$) and $\mathscr{F}_R^{[+]}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{i,R}^{[+]}$) represents the part of positive degrees in $\Lambda(T^*S)$. Similarly, we set

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := 4\widetilde{C}_1^2 = (D^Y)^2 + \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}^{[+]}, \tag{2.11}$$

where the $0-$degree component $(D^Y)^2$ is a smooth family of Hodge Laplacians along the fibers Y and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}^{[+]}$ is its positive degree part in $\Lambda(T^*S)$.

For $t > 0$, let $\psi_t \in \mathrm{End}(\Omega(S))$ such that if $\alpha \in \Omega^k(S)$, then $\psi\alpha = t^{-k/2}\alpha$. Following [5, Prop. 3.17] and (2.8), we have

Proposition 2.3. *For $t > 0$ and $i = 1, 2$, the following identities hold*

$$-D_{R,t}^2 = C_{R,t}^2 = \frac{t}{4}\psi_t^{-1}\mathscr{F}_R\psi_t, \quad -D_{i,R,t}^2 = C_{i,R,t}^2 = \frac{t}{4}\psi_t^{-1}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}\psi_t. \tag{2.12}$$

By using the product structures (0.6), (2.10) and (2.11), we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *On the product neighborhood $X_{[-R,R]}$ (resp. $X_{[-R,0]}$, $X_{[0,R]}$), we have*

$$\mathscr{F}_R = \widetilde{\mathscr{F}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2} \ \ (\mathit{resp.}\ \mathscr{F}_{i,R} = \widetilde{\mathscr{F}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}). \tag{2.13}$$

For $b \in S$, let $\mathscr{F}_{R,b}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{1,R,b}$, $\mathscr{F}_{2,R,b}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b$) be the restriction of $\mathscr{F}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{1,R}$, $\mathscr{F}_{2,R}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$) on Z_b (resp. $Z_{1,b}$, $Z_{2,b}$ and Y_b). For $b \in S$, there exist a neighborhood $U \subset S$ of b such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi^{-1}(U) = U \times Z \quad (\text{resp. } \pi^{-1}(U) = U \times Z_1, \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \pi^{-1}(U) = U \times Z_2,\ \pi^{-1}(U) = U \times Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

For $b \in U$, $\mathscr{F}_{R,b}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{1,R,b}$, $\mathscr{F}_{2,R,b}$ and $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b$) is a smooth family of second order elliptic differential operator on Z_R (resp, $Z_{1,R}$, $Z_{2,R}$ and Y). Then we denote the heat kernel of $e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}$ by $e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x, x')$, which is C^∞ in $(t, x, x', b) \in (0, \infty) \times Z_R \times Z_R \times U$. And for $i = 1, 2$, we denote the heat kernel of $e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}$ by $e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}(x, x')$, which is C^∞ in $(t, x, x', b) \in (0, \infty) \times Z_{i,R} \times Z_{i,R} \times U$ (cf. [36, Lemma 1.14]) with the absolute (resp. relative) boundary conditions for $i = 1$ (resp. $i = 2$). Similarly, let $e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y, y')$ denote the smooth heat kernel of $e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}$.

We have the off-diagonal estimates.

Lemma 2.5. *There exists $c > 0$, such that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $C_l > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$, $r_0 > 0$ and $x, x' \in Z_R$ with $\mathrm{d}(x, x') \geq r_0$*

$$\|e^{-t\mathscr{F}_R}(x, x')\|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c_l \frac{d^2(x,x')}{t}}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. Since the principle symbol of $\mathscr{F}_R$ is equal to $|\xi|^2$, the proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 1.7. □

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0} = (-\infty, 0]$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, +\infty)$. For $t > 0$, let $e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(u, v) \in \mathrm{End}\big(\Lambda(T^*\mathbb{R})\big)$ be the heat kernel for $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $e_{\mathrm{abs}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(u, v)$ (resp. $e_{\mathrm{rel}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(u, v)$) be the heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}^2_{\leq 0}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}$) with the absolute (resp. relative) boundary conditions at 0.

For $t > 0$, let $e_{\mathrm{Dir}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m)$ (resp. $e_{\mathrm{Neu}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m)$) be the smooth heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with Dirichlet (resp. Neumann) boundary condition. Then we have for $(x_m, x'_m) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{\geq 0}$

$$e_{\mathrm{Dir/Neu}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}\Big(e^{-\frac{|x_m - x'_m|^2}{4t}} \mp e^{-\frac{|x_m + x'_m|^2}{4t}}\Big). \tag{2.16}$$

Let $1, dx_m$ be a basis of $\Lambda(T^*\mathbb{R})$ and $1^*, (dx_m)^*$ be its dual basis, then we can write explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m) =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{|x_m - x'_m|^2}{4t}} dx_m \otimes (dx'_m)^* + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{|x_m - x'_m|^2}{4t}} 1 \otimes 1^*, \\ e_{\mathrm{abs/rel}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m) =& e_{\mathrm{Dir/Neu}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m) dx_m \otimes (dx'_m)^* + e_{\mathrm{Neu/Dir}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m, x'_m) \cdot 1 \otimes 1^*. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Definition 2.7. We define $Y_c := Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $Y_{c,1} := Y_{(-\infty,0]}$, $Y_{c,2} := Y_{[0,+\infty)}$). We extend the geometrical data $F|_Y, g^{TY}, h^{F|_Y}, \nabla^{F|_Y}$ trivially from Y to Y_c (resp. $Y_{c,1}$, $Y_{c,2}$), which will be denoted by

$$F_c, g^{TY_c}, h^{F_c}, \nabla^{F_c} \qquad (\text{resp.} \quad F_{c,i}, g^{TY_{c,i}}, h^{F_{c,i}}, \nabla^{F_{c,i}}).$$

Under the identification $\pi^{-1}(U) = Y \times U$, for $b \in U$, we construct a smooth family of second order operator $\mathscr{F}_{c,b}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{c,1,b}$, $\mathscr{F}_{c,2,b}$) on $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \times U$ (resp. $Y_{(-\infty,0]} \times U$, $Y_{[0,+\infty)} \times U$) such that for $(y, x_m, b) \in Y_{\mathbb{R}} \times U$ (resp. $Y_{(-\infty,0]} \times U$, $Y_{[0,+\infty)} \times U$)

$$\mathscr{F}_{c,b} = \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_b} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathscr{F}_{c,i,b} = \widetilde{\mathscr{F}_b} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}). \tag{2.18}$$

For $b \in U$, $\mathscr{F}_{c,b}$ acts on the bundle $\Lambda(T_b^*S) \otimes \big(\Lambda(T^*Y_c) \otimes F\big)$ over $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, and for $i = 1, 2$, $\mathscr{F}_{c,i,b}$ acts on the bundle $\Lambda(T_b^*S) \otimes \big(\Lambda(T^*Y_{c,i}) \otimes F\big)$ over $Y_{c,i}$.

Definition 2.8. For $\big((y, u), (y', v), b\big) \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \times U$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{c,b}\big(t, (y, u), (y', v)\big) &= e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_b}}(y, y') \otimes e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(u, v) \\ &\in \Lambda(T_b^*S) \otimes \big(\Lambda(T^*Y_c) \otimes F\big)_{(y,u)} \otimes \big(\Lambda(T^*Y_c) \otimes F\big)^*_{(y',v)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Similarly, for $\big((y,u),(y',v),b\big)\in Y^2_{(-\infty,0]}\times U$ (resp. $Y^2_{[0,+\infty)}\times U$), we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_{c,1,b}\big(t,(y,u),(y',v)\big)&=e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y,y')\otimes e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}_{\mathrm{abs}}(u,v)\\ \big(\text{resp. }\mathcal{E}_{c,2,b}\big(t,(y,u),(y',v)\big)&=e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y,y')\otimes e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}_{\mathrm{rel}}(u,v)\big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

By Definition 2.8 and (2.18), for $b\in U$, $i=1,2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\partial_t+\mathscr{F}_{c,b}\big)\mathcal{E}_{c,b}\big(t,(y,u),(y',v)\big)&=0,\\ \big(\partial_t+\mathscr{F}_{c,i,b}\big)\mathcal{E}_{c,i,b}\big(t,(y,u),(y',v)\big)&=0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{E}_{c,1,b}\,(\text{resp. }\mathcal{E}_{c,2,b})\text{ verifies the absolute (resp. relative) boundary}\\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\text{conditions at}\quad Y\times\{0\}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

For $d>a>0$, let $\rho(a,d):\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ be an even cut-off function such that

$$\rho(a,d)(v)=\begin{cases}0, & 0\le|v|\le a;\\ 1, & |v|\ge d.\end{cases}\tag{2.23}$$

Then we set

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1,R}(v)&=1-\rho(\tfrac{5}{7},\tfrac{6}{7})(\tfrac{v}{R}), & \psi_{1,R}(v)&=1-\rho(\tfrac{3}{7},\tfrac{4}{7})(\tfrac{v}{R}),\\ \phi_{2,R}(v)&=\rho(\tfrac{1}{7},\tfrac{2}{7})(\tfrac{v}{R}), & \psi_{2,R}(v)&=\rho(\tfrac{3}{7},\tfrac{4}{7})(\tfrac{v}{R}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

For $x\in Z_R$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1,R}(x)&=\begin{cases}\phi_{1,R}(x_m) & \text{for } x=(y,x_m)\in Y_{[-R,R]};\\ 0 & \text{for } x\notin Y_{[-R,R]}.\end{cases}\\ \psi_{1,R}(x)&=\begin{cases}\psi_{1,R}(x_m) & \text{for } x=(y,x_m)\in Y_{[-R,R]};\\ 0 & \text{for } x\notin Y_{[-R,R]}.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{2,R}(x)&=\begin{cases}\phi_{2,R}(x_m) & \text{for } x=(y,x_m)\in Y_{[-R,R]};\\ 1 & \text{for } x\notin Y_{[-R,R]}.\end{cases}\\ \psi_{2,R}(x)&=\begin{cases}\psi_{2,R}(x_m) & \text{for } x=(y,x_m)\in Y_{[-R,R]};\\ 1 & \text{for } x\notin Y_{[-R,R]}.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

For $i=1,2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(i)}_{1,R}&=\phi_{1,R}|_{Z_{i,R}}, & \psi^{(i)}_{1,R}&=\psi_{1,R}|_{Z_{i,R}},\\ \phi^{(i)}_{2,R}&=\phi_{2,R}|_{Z_{i,R}}, & \psi^{(i)}_{2,R}&=\psi_{2,R}|_{Z_{i,R}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Now we define three parametrixes for $(x,x',b)\in(Z_R)^2\times U$ (resp. $(Z_{i,R})^2\times U$, $i=1,2$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_{R,b}(t,x,x')&:=\phi_{1,R}(x)\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(t,x,x')\psi_{1,R}(x')+\phi_{2,R}(x)e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\psi_{2,R}(x'),\\ \mathcal{Q}_{i,R,b}(t,x,x')&:=\phi^{(i)}_{1,R}(x)\mathcal{E}_{c,i,b}(t,x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{1,R}(x')+\phi^{(i)}_{2,R}(x)e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{2,R}(x').\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

with the corresponding error terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,x,x')&:=(\partial_t+\mathscr{F}_{R,b,x})\,\mathcal{Q}_{R,b}(t,x,x'),\\ \mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(t,x,x')&:=(\partial_t+\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b,x})\,\mathcal{Q}_{i,R,b}(t,x,x').\end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
(e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}} * \mathcal{C}_{R,b})(t,x,x') &= \int_0^t \int_{Z_R} e^{-(t-s)\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,z)\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(s,z,x')dzds,\\
(e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}} * \mathcal{C}_{i,R,b})(t,x,x') &= \int_0^t \int_{Z_{i,R}} e^{-(t-s)\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}(x,z)\mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(s,z,x')dzds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}
$$

By Duhamel's principle, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *For $R>0, t>0$ and $i=1,2$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x') &= \mathcal{Q}_{R,b}(t,x,x') - (e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}} * \mathcal{C}_{R,b})(t,x,x'),\\
e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}(x,x') &= \mathcal{Q}_{i,R,b}(t,x,x') - (e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}} * \mathcal{C}_{i,R,b})(t,x,x').
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}
$$

Proof. These equations follow from the uniqueness of heat kernel (cf. [3, Thm. 2.48]). □

Using the heat equation and (2.13), we can rewrite the error terms as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,x,x') =\\
&-\frac{\partial^2\phi_{1,R}}{\partial x_m^2}(x)\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(t,x,x')\psi_{1,R}(x') - 2\frac{\partial\phi_{1,R}}{\partial x_m}(x)\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}_{c,b}}{\partial x_m}(t,x,x')\psi_{1,R}(x')\\
&-\frac{\partial^2\phi_{2,R}}{\partial x_m^2}(x)e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\psi_{2,R}(x') - 2\frac{\partial\phi_{2,R}}{\partial x_m}(x)\frac{\partial e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}}{\partial x_m}(x,x')\psi_{2,R}(x'),\\
&\mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(t,x,x') =\\
&-\frac{\partial^2\phi^{(i)}_{1,R}}{\partial x_m^2}(x)\mathcal{E}_{c,i,b}(t,x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{1,R}(x') - 2\frac{\partial\phi^{(i)}_{1,R}}{\partial x_m}(x)\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}_{c,i,b}}{\partial x_m}(t,x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{1,R}(x')\\
&-\frac{\partial^2\phi^{(i)}_{2,R}}{\partial x_m^2}(x)e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}(x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{2,R}(x') - 2\frac{\partial\phi^{(i)}_{2,R}}{\partial x_m}(x)\frac{\partial e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}}{\partial x_m}(x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{2,R}(x').
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}
$$

From (2.25), (2.26) and (2.32), we get

Lemma 2.10. *For $x'\in Z_R$ (resp. $Z_{1,R}, Z_{2,R}$) fixed and $t>0$, we have*

$$
\operatorname{supp}_x\{\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,x,x')\}\subset Y_{[-\frac{6R}{7},-\frac{R}{7}]}\cup Y_{[\frac{R}{7},\frac{6R}{7}]}, \tag{2.33}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}_x\{\mathcal{C}_{1,R,b}(t,x,x')\}\subset Y_{[-\frac{6R}{7},-\frac{R}{7}]},\ \operatorname{supp}_x\{\mathcal{C}_{2,R,b}(t,x,x')\}\subset Y_{[\frac{R}{7},\frac{6R}{7}]}. \tag{2.34}
$$

If $\mathrm{d}(x,x')<\frac{R}{7}$, then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,x,x'),\quad \mathcal{C}_{1,R,b}(t,x,x') \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{C}_{2,R,b}(t,x,x') \quad \textit{are all vanished.} \tag{2.35}
$$

Lemma 2.11. *There exists $c>0$, such that for $i=1,2$ and any $k\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_k>0$ such that for all $t>0$, $R\geq 1$, $b\in U$, $(x,x')\in\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ (resp. $(x,x')\in\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(t,\cdot,\cdot)$),*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^k}\leq C_k e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}},\qquad \left|e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^k}\leq C_k e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}},\\
&|\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,x,x')|_{\mathscr{C}^k}\leq C_k e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}},\qquad |\mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(t,x,x')|_{\mathscr{C}^k}\leq C_k e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}
$$

Here $|\cdot|_{\mathscr{C}^k}$ denotes the $\mathscr{C}^k-$norms.

Proof. Let $f:\mathbb{R}\to[0,1]$ be a smooth even cut-off function such that

$$f(v):=\begin{cases}1, & \text{for } |v|\leq\frac{1}{14},\\ 0, & \text{for } |v|\geq\frac{1}{7}.\end{cases} \tag{2.37}$$

For $a\in\mathbb{C}$, $u>0$, the functions $F_u(a)$, $G_u(a)$ are introduced in (1.30), and we have

$$e^{-ta^2}=F_{2t/R^2}(\sqrt{2t}a)+G_{2t/R^2}(\sqrt{2t}a). \tag{2.38}$$

The functions $F_{2t/R^2}(a), G_{2t/R^2}(a)$ are even holomorphic functions, therefore there exist holomorphic functions $\widetilde{F}_{2t/R^2}(a), \widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(a)$ such that

$$F_{2t/R^2}(a)=\widetilde{F}_{2t/R^2}(a^2),\quad G_{2t/R^2}(a)=\widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(a^2). \tag{2.39}$$

From (2.38) and (2.39), we get for $t>0$

$$e^{-ta}=\widetilde{F}_{2t/R^2}(2ta)+\widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(2ta). \tag{2.40}$$

The operator $\mathscr{F}_{R,b}=(D^{Z_{R,b}})^2+\mathscr{F}_{R,b}^{[+]}$ is a fiberwise second order elliptic operator whose principal symbol is $|\xi|^2$, hence we have

$$e^{-t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}=\widetilde{F}_{2t/R^2}(2t\mathscr{F}_{R,b})+\widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(2t\mathscr{F}_{R,b}). \tag{2.41}$$

Using the finite propagation speed of the wave operator (cf. [27, Appendix D.2]), for $x,x'\in Z_R$, $\mathrm{d}(x,x')\geq\frac{R}{7}$ we have

$$\widetilde{F}_{2t/R^2}(2t\mathscr{F}_{R,b})(x,x')=0. \tag{2.42}$$

Using the integration by parts (see (1.33)), there exists $c>0$, such that for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_m>0$ such that for any $R\geq1$ and $t>0$, we have (cf. [27, (1.6.16)])

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{R}}|a^m|\cdot\left|\widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(2ta)\right|\leq C_m e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}}. \tag{2.43}$$

By the spectral theorem and (2.43) we could get the following estimates:

$$\left\|\mathscr{F}_{R,b}^{m_1}\widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(2t\mathscr{F}_{R,b})\mathscr{F}_{R,b}^{m_2}\right\|\leq C_{m_1,m_2}e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}}, \tag{2.44}$$

where the constants $C_{m_1,m_2}>0$ depend only on m_1 and m_2. Apply a proof similar to the equations (1.35)-(1.38), for $s\in\pi^*(\Lambda T^*S)\widehat{\otimes}\Omega(Z_R,F_R|_{Z_R})$ and $m_1+m_2\geq m+l$, we get by Sobolev inequality and elliptic estimates

$$\left|\widetilde{G}_{2t/R^2}(2t\mathscr{F}_{R,b})(x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l}\leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}}. \tag{2.45}$$

By Lemma 2.10, (2.41), (2.42) and (2.45), we get the estimates in the first line of (2.36).

For the error terms in the second line of (2.36), by (2.32), we need to deal with the heat kernel $\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(t,x,x')$ restricted on the cylinder part $Y_{[-R,R]}$. By Definition 2.8, we get for $(y,x_m),(y',x'_m)\in Y_{[-R,R]}$

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}_{c,b}}{\partial x_m}\big(t,(y,x_m),(y',x'_m)\big)=\frac{-(x_m-x'_m)}{2t}e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y,y')\otimes e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m,x'_m). \tag{2.46}$$

We estimate the kernel of $e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}$ by two cases: For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_l > 0$ such that for any $R \geq 1$, $b \in U$,

$$\begin{cases} \left|e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y,y')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l t^{-\frac{l}{2}}, & \text{for } t \geq 1, \\ \left|e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y,y')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l t^{-\frac{m+l-1}{2}}, & \text{for } 0 < t < 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.47}$$

If $\big((y,x_m),(y',x'_m)\big) \in \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{C}_{R,b}(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, then by Lemma 2.10 we have

$$\frac{R}{7} \leq |x_m - x'_m| \leq 2R. \tag{2.48}$$

By (2.17), (2.19), (2.46), (2.47) and (2.48), for $t \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(t,x,x')|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}} t^{-\frac{l+1}{2}} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{2t}}, \\ &\left|\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{c,b}}{\partial x_m}(t,x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l R e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}} t^{-\frac{l+3}{2}} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{2t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

And for $0 < t < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(t,x,x')|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}} t^{-\frac{m+l}{2}} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{2t}}, \\ &\left|\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{c,b}}{\partial x_m}(t,x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l R e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}} t^{-\frac{m+l+2}{2}} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{2t}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

Finally by (2.49), (2.50), we can get the estimates: for $x, x' \in Y_{[-R,R]}$

$$|\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(t,x,x')|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{2t}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{c,b}}{\partial x_m}(t,x,x')\right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l e^{-c\frac{R^2}{2t}}. \tag{2.51}$$

By the estimates in the first line of (2.36) obtained above, (2.32) and (2.51), we get the estimates for the error terms in the second line of (2.36). We follow the same way to get estimates for $i = 1, 2$ in (2.36). The proof is completed. □

Lemma 2.12. *For $t > 0$ and $i = 1, 2$, the following identities hold*

$$\exp(D^2_{R,t}) = \psi_t^{-1} e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_R} \psi_t, \quad \exp(D^2_{i,R,t}) = \psi_t^{-1} e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}} \psi_t. \tag{2.52}$$

Proof. By (2.12) and uniqueness of the heat kernel, we get

$$\exp(D^2_{R,t}) = \psi_t^{-1} \exp(tB_R^2)\psi_t, \quad \exp(D^2_{i,R,t}) = \psi_t^{-1} \exp(tB_{i,R}^2)\psi_t. \tag{2.53}$$

From (2.8) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp(D^2_{R,t}) = \psi_t^{-1} \exp(-tA_R^2)\psi_t = \psi_t^{-1} e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_R}\psi_t, \\ &\exp(D^2_{i,R,t}) = \psi_t^{-1} \exp(-tA_{i,R}^2)\psi_t = \psi_t^{-1} e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}}\psi_t. \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

The proof is completed. □

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\chi_{i,R}$ be the characteristic function of $Z_{i,R}$ in Z_R such that

$$\chi_{i,R}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \quad x \in Z_{i,R}, \\ 0 & , \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.55}$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_R(t) &:= \varphi\psi_t^{-1}\int_{Z_R}\mathrm{Tr}_s\Big[\frac{N}{2}\big[(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,x})\mathcal{Q}_R(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\\
&\qquad -\sum_{i=1}^{2}\chi_{i,R}(x)(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R,x})\mathcal{Q}_{i,R}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\big]_{x=x'}\Big]dv_x,\\
\mathrm{II}_R(t) &:= \varphi\psi_t^{-1}\int_{Z_R}\mathrm{Tr}_s\Big[\frac{N}{2}\big[(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,x})(e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}}*\mathcal{C}_R)(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\\
&\qquad -\sum_{i=1}^{2}\chi_{i,R}(x)(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R,x})(e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}}*\mathcal{C}_{i,R})(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\big]_{x=x'}\Big]dv_x.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.56}
$$

Here we use some simple notations $W_R=\Omega^\bullet(Z_R,F|_{Z_R})$ (resp. $W_{1,R}=\Omega^\bullet(Z_{1,R},F|_{Z_{1,R}})$, $W_{2,R}=\Omega^\bullet(Z_{2,R},Y,F|_{Z_{2,R}})$) to denote the infinite dimensional vector bundle over S. Then by (1.18), (2.10), (2.12), (2.31), (2.52) and (2.55), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f^\wedge(C'_{R,t},h^{W_R})-\sum_{i=1}^{2}f^\wedge(C'_{i,R,t},h^{W_{i,R}})\\
&=\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\psi_t^{-1}\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_R)e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_R}\psi_t\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\psi_t^{-1}\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R})e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}}\psi_t\right]\\
&=\varphi\psi_t^{-1}\left\{\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_R)e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_R}\right]-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\varphi\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R})e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}}\right]\right\}\\
&=\varphi\psi_t^{-1}\int_{Z_R}\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\Big(\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_R)e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_R}\Big)(x,x)\right.\\
&\qquad\left.-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\chi_{i,R}(x)\Big(\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R})e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R}}\Big)(x,x)\right]dv_x\\
&=\mathrm{I}_R(t)+\mathrm{II}_R(t).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.57}
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x;b):=\big[\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x)-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\chi_{i,R}(x)\mathcal{E}_{c,i,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x)\big],
\tag{2.58}
$$

then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13. *For $t>0$, $R>0$, $b\in U$, we have*

$$
I_{R,b}(t)=\varphi\psi_t^{-1}(1+2\partial_t)\int_{Z_R}\psi_{1,R}(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\Big[\frac{N}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x;b)\Big]dv_x.
\tag{2.59}
$$

Proof. Using the heat equations and by (2.28), (2.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,b,x})\mathcal{Q}_{R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\\
&\quad=-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')+\phi_{1,R}(x)(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,b,x})\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\psi_{1,R}(x')\\
&\qquad\qquad+\phi_{2,R}(x)(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,b,x})e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\psi_{2,R}(x')\\
&\quad=-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')+\phi_{1,R}(x)(1+2\partial_t)\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\psi_{1,R}(x')\\
&\qquad\qquad+\phi_{2,R}(x)(1+2\partial_t)e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\psi_{2,R}(x'),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.60}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b,x})\mathcal{Q}_{i,R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\\
&\quad=-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')+\phi^{(i)}_{1,R}(x)(1+2\partial_t)\mathcal{E}_{c,i,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{1,R}(x')\\
&\qquad\qquad+\phi^{(i)}_{2,R}(x)(1+2\partial_t)e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x')\psi^{(i)}_{2,R}(x').
\end{aligned}\tag{2.61}$$

By (2.35), we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x)=0,\quad \mathcal{C}_{i,R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x)=0,\quad i=1,2.\tag{2.62}$$

Then it follows from (2.24), (2.27), (2.60), (2.61) and (2.62) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big\{(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,b,x})\mathcal{Q}_{R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')-\sum_{i=1}^{2}(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b,x})\mathcal{Q}_{i,R,b}(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\Big\}_{x=x'}\\
&=(1+2\partial_t)\phi_{1,R}(x)\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x;b)\psi_{1,R}(x)+(1+2\partial_t)\phi_{2,R}(x)\Big[e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x)\\
&\qquad-\sum_{i=1}^{2}\chi_{i,R}(x)e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x,x)\Big]\psi_{2,R}(x)\\
&=(1+2\partial_t)\psi_{1,R}(x)\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x;b).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.63}$$

Finally, (2.59) follows from (2.56) and (2.63). The proof is completed. □

Lemma 2.14. *The following identity hold, for any $t>0$, $R>0$, $b\in U$,*

$$\int_{Z_R}\psi_{1,R}(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\Big[\frac{N}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x;b)\Big]dv_x=0.\tag{2.64}$$

Proof. We set η_-,η_+ the characteristic functions of $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 0},\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Let $x=(y,x_m)\in Y_{[-R,R]}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m):=e^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m,x_m)&-\eta_-(x_m)e_{\mathrm{abs}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m,x_m)\\
&-\eta_+(x_m)e_{\mathrm{rel}}^{-t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2}}(x_m,x_m).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.65}$$

By (2.19), (2.20), (2.58) and $\mathrm{supp}(\psi_{1,R})\subset Y_{[-R,R]}$, we get

$$\psi_{1,R}(y,x_m)\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}\big(t,(y,x_m);b\big)=e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}(y,y)\otimes\psi_{1,R}(x_m)e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m).\tag{2.66}$$

Let $\mathrm{sign}(x_m)$ be the sign function defined as

$$\mathrm{sign}(x_m)=\begin{cases}1 & x_m\geq 0,\\ -1 & x_m<0.\end{cases} \tag{2.67}$$

By (2.16) and (2.17), we find

$$e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m)=-\mathrm{sign}(x_m)\frac{e^{-\frac{x_m^2}{t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}dx_m\otimes(dx_m)^*+\mathrm{sign}(x_m)\frac{e^{-\frac{x_m^2}{t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}1\otimes 1^*. \tag{2.68}$$

Recall that $\{1,dx_m\}$ form a basis of $\Lambda(T^*\mathbb{R})$, we use $\mathrm{Tr}_{|_{dx_m}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Tr}_{|_1}$) to denote the point-wise trace restricted on the subbundle $\mathbb{R}\cdot dx_m$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}\cdot 1$). As $\psi_{1,R}(x_m)$ is an even function on x_m, by (2.68) we get

$$\int_{-R}^{R}\psi_{1,R}(x_m)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,|_{dx_m}\big[e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m)\big]dx_m=-\int_{-R}^{R}\psi_{1,R}(x_m)\mathrm{sign}(x_m)\frac{e^{-\frac{x_m^2}{t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}}dx_m=0, \tag{2.69}$$

and similarly we get

$$\int_{-R}^{R}\psi_{1,R}(x_m)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,|_{1}\big[e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m)\big]dx_m=0. \tag{2.70}$$

By (2.25), (2.66), (2.69) and (2.70), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{Z_R}\psi_{1,R}(x)\,\mathrm{Tr}_s\Big[\frac{N}{2}\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x;b)\Big]dv_{Z_R}\\ =&\sum_{p=0}^{m}(-1)^p\frac{p}{2}\Big\{\,\mathrm{Tr}\,|_{\Omega^p(Y,F)}\big[e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}\big]\cdot\int_{-R}^{R}\psi_{1,R}(x_m)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{|_1}\,\big[e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m)\big]dx_m\\ &+\mathrm{Tr}\,|_{\Omega^{p-1}(Y,F)}\big[e^{-t\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_b}\big]\cdot\int_{-R}^{R}\psi_{1,R}(x_m)\,\mathrm{Tr}_{|_{dx_m}}\,\big[e_{\mathrm{dif}}(t,x_m)\big]dx_m\Big\}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.71}$$

From (2.71) we have proved (2.64). The proof is completed. □

By Lemma 2.13 and Lemma 2.14, we have

Lemma 2.15. *For all $t>0$, $R>0$, $b\in U$, we have*

$$I_{R,b}(t)=0. \tag{2.72}$$

Now we start to treat $\mathrm{II}_{R,b}(t)$ appearing in (2.56). Let

$$\begin{aligned}g_b(t,x;R)&:=\big[(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{R,b,x})(e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}*\mathcal{C}_{R,b})(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\big]_{x=x'},\\ g_{i,b}(t,x;R)&:=\big[(1-\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b,x})(e^{-\frac{t}{4}\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}}*\mathcal{C}_{i,R,b})(\frac{t}{4},x,x')\big]_{x=x'},\end{aligned} \tag{2.73}$$

then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.16. *For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $b\in U$, we have*

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}}\varphi\psi_t^{-1}\int_{Z_R}\mathrm{Tr}_s\Big[\frac{N}{2}g_b(t,x;R)\Big]dv_{Z_R}(x)\frac{dt}{t}=0, \tag{2.74}$$

and for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \varphi\psi_t^{-1} \int_{Z_{i,R}} \mathrm{Tr}_s \Big[\frac{N}{2} g_{i,b}(t, x; R)\Big] dv_{Z_{i,R}}(x) \frac{dt}{t} = 0. \tag{2.75}$$

Proof. By Lemmas 2.10, 2.11 and (2.30), we have that for any $R \geq 1$, $t > 0$ and $b \in U$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big| \int_{Z_R} \mathrm{Tr}_s \Big[\frac{N}{2} g_b(t, x; R)\Big] dv_{Z_R}(x) \Big|_{\mathscr{C}^0(U)} \leq C_m \mathrm{Vol}(Z_R) \, |g_b(t, x; R)|_{\mathscr{C}^0(Z_R)} \\
\leq & C_m R \int_0^{t/4} ds \int_{Y\times[-\frac{6R}{7}, \frac{6R}{7}]} \big|e^{-(\frac{t}{4}-s)\mathscr{F}_{R,b}}(x, z)\big|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \big|\mathcal{C}_{R,b}(s, z, x)\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0} dv_{Z_R}(z) \\
\leq & C_m R \int_0^{t/4} ds \int_{Y\times[-\frac{6R}{7}, \frac{6R}{7}]} \exp(-c\frac{4R^2}{t-4s}) \exp(-c\frac{R^2}{s}) dv_{Z_R}(z) \\
\leq & C_m R^2 \mathrm{Vol}(Y) \int_0^{t/4} \exp(-c\frac{tR^2}{s(t-4s)}) ds \leq C_m R^2 \int_0^{t/4} \exp(-c\frac{R^2}{s}) ds \\
\leq & C_m R^2 \int_0^{t} \exp(-c\frac{R^2}{s}) ds \leq C_m R^2 t \exp(-c\frac{R^2}{t}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.76}$$

Recall that $n = \dim(S)$, for any $\alpha \in \Omega(S)$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$|\psi_t^{-1}\alpha|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}})|\alpha|_{\mathscr{C}^0}. \tag{2.77}$$

Then for $R \geq 1$ sufficiently large we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big| \int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \frac{dt}{t} \varphi\psi_t^{-1} \int_{Z_R} \mathrm{Tr}_s \Big[\frac{N}{2} g_b(t, x; R)\Big] dv_{Z_R} \Big|_{\mathscr{C}^0(U)} \\
\leq & C_m \int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \frac{dt}{t} \|\psi_t^{-1}\| \Big| \int_{Z_R} \mathrm{Tr}_s \Big[\frac{N}{2} g_b(t, x; R)\Big] dv_{Z_R}(x) \Big|_{\mathscr{C}^0(U)} \\
\leq & C_m \int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} R^2(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}}) e^{-c\frac{R^2}{t}} dt \leq C_m R^2 \int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \big(1 + R^n t^{-n/2}\big) e^{-cR^2/t} dt \\
\leq & C_m R^4 \int_{R^\varepsilon}^{\infty} \big(1 + u^{n/2}\big) e^{-cu} \frac{du}{u^2} \leq C_m R^{4-2\varepsilon} \int_{R^\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{cu}{2}} du \leq C_m R^{4-2\varepsilon} e^{-cR^\varepsilon/4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.78}$$

By (2.78), we get (2.74). In the same way we prove (2.75). The proof is completed. □

By (2.56) and Lemma 2.16, we get

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_0^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \mathrm{II}_R(t) \frac{dt}{t} = 0. \tag{2.79}$$

By Lemma 2.13, (2.6), (2.57) and (2.79), we have proved Theorem 2.1.

3. Large time contributions in the adiabatic limit

In this section, we will first study the spectral properties of the Hodge-Laplacian $(D^{Z_{R,b}})^2$ (resp. $(D^{Z_{i,R,b}})^2$, $i = 1, 2$) parameterized by $b \in U \subset S$ on Z_R (resp. $Z_{i,R}$) under the assumption (0.9) when the length of the cylinder R extends to infinity. As we will see, in this process the spectral of these operators is divided into two groups: one is the $0-$spectrum, the other one is the collection of spectrum uniformly bounded away

from 0 with respect to $b \in U$ and R sufficiently large. Then by using the existence of spectral gap we show that the large time contribution is null.

In Section 3.1, we state the main result, Theorem 3.1, on the properties of the spectral of the fiberwise Hodge-Laplacians. We establish the $L^2(Y)-$norm estimates of the $\lambda-$eigensections of the Hodge-Laplacians on the cylinder part along the fibers Z. In Section 3.2, we show that the eigensections lying in $\mathscr{W}_R$ (resp. $\mathscr{W}_{1,R}$, $\mathscr{W}_{2,R}$) correspond to the eigenvalues equal to 0 or decaying exponentially with respect to $R \to \infty$. In Section 3.3, we show that the eigensections orthogonal to $\mathscr{W}_R$ (resp. $\mathscr{W}_{1,R}$, $\mathscr{W}_{2,R}$) own the eigenvalue uniformly bounded away from 0 by a uniform positive constant when R goes to infinity. Then by a result in [2] we show that in fact there does not exist any eigenvalues decaying exponentially. In Section 3.4, we show that the large time contribution is null.

3.1. **Spectral gaps uniform with respect to $R \to \infty$.** In this section, we adopt the notation of Section 2.2. For $b \in U \subset S$, $(D^{Z_{R,b}})^2$ (resp. $(D^{Z_{i,R,b}})^2$, $i = 1, 2,$) is the 0-degree part in $\Lambda(T_b^*S)$ of $\mathscr{F}_{R,b}$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_{i,R,b}$) (see Definition 2.2). Under the local trivialization (2.14), they are smooth families of generalized Laplacians along the fibers Z_R (resp. $Z_{i,R}$) parameterized by $b \in U$. The $0-$degree component $(D^{Y_b})^2$ of $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}_b}$ is a smooth family of generalized Laplacians along the fibers Y parameterized by $b \in U$.

We will omit the sub-script $b \in U$ indicating on which fiber we work, and only mention it when it is necessary. First, we announce our main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Under the assumption (0.9), there exist $R_0 > 0$ and $c > 0$, such that for any $R > R_0$, $b \in U$, $i = 1, 2$, the eigenvalue μ of the operator $(D^{Z_{R,b}})^2$ (resp. $(D^{Z_{i,R,b}})^2$) is either bounded away from 0 with $\mu > c$, or it is equal to 0. In other words, we have*

$$\mathrm{Spec}((D^{Z_{R,b}})^2) \subset \{0\} \cup [c, +\infty) \quad \big(\textit{resp. } \mathrm{Spec}((D^{Z_{i,R,b}})^2) \subset \{0\} \cup [c, +\infty)\big). \tag{3.1}$$

Set

$$\delta = \inf_{b \in U} \min\{\mu > 0 |\ \mu \in \mathrm{Spec}((D^{Y_b})^2)\}, \tag{3.2}$$

from our assumption (0.9) we can assume that $\delta > 0$, since b varies in the compact subset U. Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(Y, \Lambda(T^*Y) \otimes F)$ consisting of smooth eigensections of $(D^Y)^2$ such that

$$(D^Y)^2\phi_i = \mu_i\phi_i, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_i \leq \cdots \to +\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Let ψ be a smooth eigensection of $(D^{Z_R})^2$ such that

$$(D^{Z_R})^2\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{3\delta}{4}, \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2(Z_R)} = 1. \tag{3.4}$$

On the cylinder $Y_{[-R,R]}$ we expand ψ in term of the basis (3.3)

$$\psi(y, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x_m)\phi_k(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x_m)dx_m \wedge \phi_k(y), \quad (y, x_m) \in Y_{[-R,R]}. \tag{3.5}$$

Using the product structures, on $Y_{[-R,R]}$ we have

$$(D^{Z_R})^2 = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m^2} + (D^Y)^2. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x_m^2} =(\mu_k-\lambda)f_k, \quad \frac{\partial^2 g_k}{\partial x_m^2} = \ (\mu_k-\lambda)g_k. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.3), (3.4), (3.7), for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda<u_k$ we find

$$\begin{cases} f_k(y,x_m) = \ a_k e^{-\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}+b_k e^{\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m},\\ g_k(y,x_m) = \ c_k e^{-\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}+d_k e^{\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

where a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k are some constants. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(y,x_m) &:= \sum_k e^{-\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}\big(a_k\phi_k(y)+c_k dx_m\wedge\phi_k(y)\big),\\ \psi^-(y,x_m) &:= \sum_k e^{\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}\big(b_k\phi_k(y)+d_k dx_m\wedge\phi_k(y)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Then substitute (3.8) into (3.5), it produces

$$\psi(y,x_m)=\psi^+(y,x_m)+\psi^-(y,x_m). \tag{3.10}$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exist constants $C>0$ and $R_0>0$ such that for any $R>R_0$, $-\frac{3R}{4}\le x_m\le\frac{3R}{4}$ and ψ a smooth eigensection of $(D^{Z_R})^2$ satisfying (3.4), we have*

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\le Ce^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}. \tag{3.11}$$

As its consequence, we also have $\big\|D^{Z_R}\psi\big\|_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\le C\lambda^{\frac12}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}$.

Proof. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathrm{I}} &:= \int_{-R}^{+R}\big\|\psi^+\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}+\big\|\psi^-\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\,dx_m,\\ T_{\mathrm{II}} &:= 2\int_{-R}^{+R}\mathrm{Re}\,\big\langle\psi^+,\psi^-\big\rangle_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\,dx_m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

In (3.4), we have supposed that $\|\psi\|_{L^2(Z_R)}=1$, then by (3.10) and (3.12)

$$1=\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}\ge\int_{-R}^{+R}\big\|\psi^++\psi^-\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\,dx_m=T_{\mathrm{I}}+T_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{3.13}$$

For the first term T_{I}. We use a simpler notation

$$|\sigma_k|:=\sqrt{|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2+|b_k|^2+|d_k|^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

By (3.14), (3.9) and (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathrm{I}} &\ge \int_{-R}^{+R}\sum_k e^{-2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}\left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right)dx_m\\ &\qquad+\int_{-R}^{+R}\sum_k e^{2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}\left(|b_k|^2+|d_k|^2\right)dx_m\\ &=\sum_k\frac{e^{2R\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}-e^{-2R\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}}{2\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

For $x>0$, there exist a constant $C_0>0$ such that

$$\frac{e^x-e^{-x}}{x}\geq C_0e^{\frac{7}{8}x}. \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.4), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we find

$$T_{\mathrm{I}}\geq\sum_k C_0Re^{\frac{7R}{4}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2\geq\ \ C_0Re^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2. \tag{3.17}$$

For the second term $T_{\mathbf{II}}$. By (3.9) and (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\mathrm{II}}&=\int_{-R}^{+R}\left\{\sum_k(a_k\bar{b}_k+c_k\bar{d}_k+\bar{a}_kb_k+\bar{c}_kd_k)\right\}dx_m\\&=2R\sum_k(a_k\bar{b}_k+c_k\bar{d}_k+\bar{a}_kb_k+\bar{c}_kd_k),\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

hence we have by (3.14) and (3.18)

$$|T_{\mathrm{II}}|\leq 2R\sum_k|\sigma_k|^2\leq 2R\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2. \tag{3.19}$$

By (3.12), (3.13), (3.17) and (3.19), we find for $R\geq 1$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned}1=\|\psi\|^2&\geq T_{\mathrm{I}}+T_{\mathrm{II}}\geq C_0Re^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2+T_{\mathrm{II}}\\&\geq\frac{1}{2}C_0Re^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2+\Big\{\frac{1}{2}C_0Re^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}-2R\Big\}\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2\\&\geq\frac{1}{2}C_0Re^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

In other words, for $R\geq 1$ large enough we have

$$\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2\leq\frac{2}{C_0R}e^{-\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}\leq 2C_0^{-1}e^{-\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}}. \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.14), (3.10) and (3.21), we obtain the L^2-norm estimate of ψ in the Y-direction for any $-\frac{3R}{4}\leq x_m\leq\frac{3R}{4}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\leq 2\left(\left\|\psi^+\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}+\left\|\psi^-\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\right)\\&=\sum_k 2e^{-2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}\left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right)+\sum_k 2e^{2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}\left(|b_k|^2+|d_k|^2\right)\\&\leq 2\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}|\sigma_k|^2\leq 4C_0^{-1}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{8}R}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Now we have finished the proof. □

Next we try to get similar lemmas for the eigensections of $(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2$ and $(D^{Z_{2,R}})^2$. Let

$$\begin{cases}(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2\psi_1=\lambda\cdot\psi_1,\ 0\leq\lambda<\frac{3\delta}{4},\quad \|\psi_1\|_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}=1,\\ (i(e_{\mathbf{n}})\psi_1)|_Y=\left(i(e_{\mathbf{n}})d^{Z_{1,R}}\psi_1\right)\big|_Y=0,\end{cases} \tag{3.23}$$

where $e_{\mathbf{n}}$ denotes the inward-pointing normal vector along the boundary. On $Y_{[-R,0]}$ we expand ψ_1 in term of basis (3.3)

$$\psi_1(y,x_m)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}f_k(x_m)\phi_k(y)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}g_k(x_m)dx_m\wedge\phi_k(y),\quad (y,x_m)\in Y_{(-\infty,0]}. \tag{3.24}$$

Similar to (3.6)-(3.8), and using (3.23), (3.24), for absolute boundary conditions, we find $\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_m}(0)=0$, $g_k(0)=0$, then it follows that

$$a_k=b_k,\quad c_k=-d_k. \tag{3.25}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1^+(y,x_m)&:=\sum_k e^{-\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}\big(a_k\phi_k(y)+c_k dx_m\wedge\phi_k(y)\big),\\ \psi_1^-(y,x_m)&:=\sum_k e^{\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}\,x_m}\big(a_k\phi_k(y)-c_k dx_m\wedge\phi_k(y)\big),\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

then substitute (3.25) into (3.24), we have on $Y_{[-R,0]}$

$$\psi_1(y,x_m)=\psi_1^+(y,x_m)+\psi_1^-(y,x_m). \tag{3.27}$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exist constants $C>0$ and $R_0>0$ such that for any $R>R_0$, $-\frac{3R}{4}\le x_m\le 0$ and ψ_1 a smooth eigensection of $(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2$ satisfying (3.23), we have*

$$\|\psi_1\|_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\le Ce^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}. \tag{3.28}$$

As its consequence, we also have $\big\|D^{Z_{1,R}}\psi_1\big\|_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\le C\lambda^{\frac12}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}$.

Proof. We denote

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\mathrm{I}}&:=\int_{-R}^0\big\|\psi_1^+\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}+\big\|\psi_1^-\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\,dx_m,\\ S_{\mathrm{II}}&:=2\int_{-R}^0\mathrm{Re}\,\big\langle\psi_1^+,\psi_1^-\big\rangle_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\,dx_m.\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

By (3.23), (3.24), (3.27) and (3.29), we find

$$1=\|\psi_1\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\ge\int_{-R}^0\big\|\psi_1^++\psi_1^-\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}\,dx_m=S_{\mathrm{I}}+S_{\mathrm{II}}. \tag{3.30}$$

For the first term S_{I}. By (3.26) and (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\mathrm{I}}&\ge\int_{-R}^0\sum_k e^{-2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}\left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right)dx_m\\ &\qquad+\int_{-R}^0\sum_k e^{2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}\left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right)dx_m\\ &=\sum_k\frac{e^{2R\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}-e^{-2R\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}}{2\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

By(3.16), (3.23) and (3.31), we get

$$S_{\mathrm{I}} \geq C_0 R \sum_k e^{\frac{7R}{4}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2) \geq C_0 R e^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\mu_k}} \sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2). \tag{3.32}$$

For the second term $S_{\mathbf{II}}$. By (3.26) and (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathrm{II}} &:= 2\int_{-R}^{0} \mathrm{Re}\left\langle \psi_1^+, \psi_1^- \right\rangle_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})} dx_m \\ &= \int_{-R}^{0} \left\{ \sum_k a_k\bar{a}_k - c_k\bar{c}_k + \bar{a}_k a_k - \bar{c}_k c_k \right\} dx_m = 2R\sum_k (|a_k|^2 - |c_k|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

When R is sufficiently large, we have

$$\left| 2R\sum_k (|a_k|^2-|c_k|^2) \right| \leq \frac{C_0}{2} R\, e^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\mu_k}} \sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2),$$

hence by (3.30), (3.32) and (3.33), we get for $R \geq 1$ large enough

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C_0 R}{2} e^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\delta}} \sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2) \\ &\leq C_0 R e^{\frac{R}{8}\sqrt{\mu_k}} \sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}}(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2) + 2R\sum_k (|a_k|^2-|c_k|^2) \\ &\leq S_{\mathrm{I}} + S_{\mathrm{II}} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

By (3.26), (3.27) and (3.34), we obtain the L^2-norm estimate of ψ_1 in Y-direction for any $-\frac{3R}{4} \leq x_m \leq 0$ and $R \geq 1$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})} &\leq 2\left(\left\|\psi_1^+\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})} + \left\|\psi_1^-\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})} \right) \\ &= \sum_k 2e^{-2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}} \left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right) + \sum_k 2e^{2x_m\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}} \left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right) \\ &\leq 4\sum_k e^{\frac{3R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k-\lambda}} \left(|a_k|^2+|c_k|^2\right) \leq 8C_0^{-1} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{8}R}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Now we have finished the proof of the lemma. □

We set

$$\begin{cases} (D^{Z_{2,R}})^2\psi_2 = \lambda\cdot\psi_2, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{3\delta}{4}, \quad \|\psi_2\|_{L^2(Z_{2,R})} = 1, \\ (e^{\mathbf{n}} \wedge \psi_2)|_Y = \left(e^{\mathbf{n}} \wedge \left(d^{Z_{2,R}}\right)^* \psi_2\right)\big|_Y = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

where we use $e^{\mathbf{n}}$ to denote the dual vector of the inward-pointing normal vector along the boundary. Similar to Lemma 3.3, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *There exist $C > 0$ and $R > R_0$ such that for any $R > R_0$, $0 \leq x_m \leq \frac{3R}{4}$ and ψ_2 a smooth eigensection of $(D^{Z_{2,R}})^2$ satisfying (3.36), we have*

$$\|\psi_2\|_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})} \leq Ce^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}. \tag{3.37}$$

As its consequence, we also have $\left\|D^{Z_{2,R}}\psi_2\right\|_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})} \leq C\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}$.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 3.3. □

An estimate similar to Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 has been done by Wojciechowski [34] for the APS boundary conditions.

3.2. **Eigenvalues decaying exponentially as $R \to \infty$.** Let $f : [-1, 1] \to [0, 1]$ be a smooth even cut-off function such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } & -\frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}; \\ 1 \text{ for } & \frac{1}{2} \leq |x| \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.38}$$

then we define $f_R(y, x_m) := f(\frac{x_m}{R})$ on $Y_{[-R,R]}$. We extend f_R by 1 from $Y_{[-R,R]}$ to the whole fiber Z_R. We set

$$f_{1,R}(x) := \begin{cases} f_R(x), & x \in Z_{1,R}, \\ \\ 0, & x \in Z_{2,R}; \end{cases} \qquad f_{2,R}(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & x \in Z_{1,R}, \\ \\ f_R(x), & x \in Z_{2,R}. \end{cases} \tag{3.39}$$

Definition 3.5. Let $Z_{1,\infty} \cong Z_{1,R} \cup Y_{[0,+\infty)}$ (resp. $Z_{2,\infty} \cong Y_{(-\infty,0]} \cup Z_{2,R}$). We extends all the geometric data from $Z_{1,R}$ (resp. $Z_{2,R}$) to $Z_{1,\infty}$ (resp. $Z_{2,\infty}$) by using the product structures. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2$ be the L^2-integrable solutions of the Hodge-Laplacian $(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2$ on $Z_{i,\infty}$.

Definition 3.6. We define $\mathscr{W}_{i,R} := \mathrm{span}\{f_{i,R}\, s_i,\ s_i \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2\}$ two subspaces of $\Omega(Z_{i,R}, F_R)$ and let $\mathscr{W}_R := \mathscr{W}_{1,R} \oplus \mathscr{W}_{2,R}$ regarded as a subspace of $\Omega(Z_R, F_R)$.

Lemma 3.7. *There exist $R_0 > 0$, $C > 0$ such that for any $R > R_0$ and $s \in \mathscr{W}_R$*

$$\|(D^{Z_R})^2 s\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq C e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{8}} \|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)}. \tag{3.40}$$

Proof. As $s \in \mathscr{W}_R$, there exist $s_i \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2, i = 1, 2$, such that

$$s = f_{1,R} s_1 + f_{2,R} s_2. \tag{3.41}$$

On the $Y_{[-R,R]}$, we expand s_1, s_2 in term of basis (3.3) for $(y, x_m) \in Y_{[-R,R]}$

$$\begin{cases} s_1(y, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{\mu_k}(x_m + R)} \left(a_k \phi_k(y) + c_k dx_m \wedge \phi_k(y)\right), \\ s_2(y, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{+\sqrt{\mu_k}(x_m - R)} \left(b_k \phi_k(y) + d_k dx_m \wedge \phi_k(y)\right). \end{cases} \tag{3.42}$$

By (3.6) and $s_i \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2, i = 1, 2$, we have $(D^{Z_R})^2(f_{i,R} s_i) = -\frac{\partial^2 f_{i,R}}{\partial x_m^2} s_i - 2\frac{\partial f_{i,R}}{\partial x_m}\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial x_m}$. Hence from (3.2), (3.39), (3.41) and (3.42), we get for $R \geq 1$ by using the notation (3.14)

that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(D^{Z_R})^2 s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}\\
&\leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\Big(\big\|\frac{\partial^2 f_{i,R}}{\partial x_m^2}s_i\big\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}+2\big\|\frac{\partial f_{i,R}}{\partial x_m}\frac{\partial s_i}{\partial x_m}\big\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}\Big)\\
&\leq 2\sup_{x_m\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\partial^2 f_R}{\partial x_m^2}\right|^2\left(\|s_1\|^2_{L^2(Y_{[-\frac{R}{2},-\frac{R}{4}]})}+\|s_2\|^2_{L^2(Y_{[\frac{R}{4},\frac{R}{2}]})}\right)\\
&+4\sup_{x_m\in\mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{\partial f_R}{\partial x_m}\right|^2\left(\Big\|\frac{\partial s_1}{\partial x_m}\Big\|^2_{L^2(Y_{[-\frac{R}{4},-\frac{R}{2}]})}+\Big\|\frac{\partial s_2}{\partial x_m}\Big\|^2_{L^2(Y_{[\frac{R}{4},\frac{R}{2}]})}\right)\\
&\leq \frac{2c_1}{R^4}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-R\sqrt{\mu_k}}(1-e^{-\frac{R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k}})}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}|\sigma_k|^2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{4c_2}{R^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu_k e^{-R\sqrt{\mu_k}}(1-e^{-\frac{R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k}})}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}|\sigma_k|^2\\
&\leq c_3 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}R}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\sigma_k|^2}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.43}
$$

where

$$
c_1=\max_{u\in[-1,1]}\left|\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 u}(u)\right|^2,\ c_2=\max_{u\in[-1,1]}\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(u)\right|^2. \tag{3.44}
$$

On the other hand, from (3.2), (3.39), (3.41) and (3.42) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}&=\|F_R s_1+F_R s_2\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}\\
&\geq\int_{-R}^{-\frac{R}{2}}\|s_1\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m+\int_{\frac{R}{2}}^{R}\|s_2\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m\\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1-e^{-R\sqrt{\mu_k}}}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}|\sigma_k|^2\geq(1-e^{-R\sqrt{\delta}})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|\sigma_k|^2}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.45}
$$

By (3.43) and (3.45), there exist $R_0>0, c_4>0$ such that for any $R>R_0$

$$
\|(D^{Z_R})^2 s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}\leq\frac{c_3 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}R}}{1-e^{-R\sqrt{\delta}}}\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}\leq c_4\cdot e^{-\frac{R}{4}\sqrt{\delta}}\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}. \tag{3.46}
$$

The proof is now completed. □

Similar to Lemma 3.7, we have

Lemma 3.8. *For $i=1,2$, there exist $R_0>0, C>0$ such that for any $R>R_0$ and $s\in\mathscr{W}_{i,R}$*

$$
\|(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2 s\|_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}\leq Ce^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}R}{8}}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}. \tag{3.47}
$$

Proof. We first establish (3.47) for $i = 1$. There exists $s_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{1,\infty}})^2$ such that $s = f_{1,R}s_1$. We expand s_1 for $(y, x_m) \in Y_{[-R,0]}$ in term of basis (3.3),

$$s_1(y, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{\mu_k}(x_m+R)} \left(a_k\phi_k(y) + c_k dx_m \wedge \phi_k(y)\right), \tag{3.48}$$

then, for $R \geq 1$, as (3.43) we have by using $s_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{1,\infty}})^2$, (3.44) and (3.48)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2 s\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} \\
&\leq \frac{2c_1}{R^4}\|s_1\|^2_{L^2(Y_{[-\frac{R}{2},-\frac{R}{4}]})} + \frac{4c_2}{R^2}\Big\|\frac{\partial s_1}{\partial x_m}\Big\|^2_{L^2(Y_{[-\frac{R}{2},-\frac{R}{4}]})} \\
&\leq \frac{2c_1}{R^4}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-R\sqrt{\mu_k}}(1 - e^{-\frac{R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k}})}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}\left(|a_k|^2 + |c_k|^2\right) \\
&\qquad + \frac{4c_2}{R^2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu_k e^{-R\sqrt{\mu_k}}(1 - e^{-\frac{R}{2}\sqrt{\mu_k}})}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}\left(|a_k|^2 + |c_k|^2\right) \\
&\leq c_3 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}R}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|a_k|^2 + |c_k|^2}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

On the other hand as (3.45) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} &\geq \int_{-R}^{-\frac{R}{2}} \|s_1\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1 - e^{-R\sqrt{\mu_k}}}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}(|a_k|^2 + |c_k|^2) \\
&\geq (1 - e^{-R\sqrt{\delta}})\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|a_k|^2 + |c_k|^2}{2\sqrt{\mu_k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

By (3.49) and (3.50), there exist $C_1 > 0$ such that for $R \geq 1$ large enough

$$\|(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2 s\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} \leq \frac{c_3 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{2}R}}{1 - e^{-R\sqrt{\delta}}}\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} \leq C_1 e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{4}R}\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}. \tag{3.51}$$

Thus we get (3.47) for $i = 1$. For $i = 2$, the estimate (3.47) is true as that the Hodge star operator exchanges the relative and absolute boundary conditions or we can follow the same proof as for $i = 1$. The proof is completed. □

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathbb{I}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{I}}_R$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{I}}_{i,R}$) be the direct sum of the eigenspaces of $(D^{Z_R})^2$ (resp. $(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2$) associated to eigenvalues $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}$. Let $P^{\mathbb{I}}_R$ (resp. $P^{\mathbb{I}}_{i,R}$) be the orthogonal projection operator from $L^2(Z_R, \Lambda(T^*Z_R)\otimes F_R)$ (resp. $L^2(Z_{i,R}, \Lambda(T^*Z_{i,R})\otimes F_R)$) onto $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{I}}_R$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{I}}_{i,R}$). In our application, for $c > 0$, $R \geq 0$, the subset $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{R}$ will be taken into $[0, c]$, $(0, c]$, $\{0\}$.

Lemma 3.10. *For $i = 1, 2$, there exist $C > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that for any $R > R_0$ and $s \in \mathscr{W}_R$ (resp. $\mathscr{W}_{i,R}$)*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\left(\mathrm{Id} - P_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\right)s\right\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq Ce^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}\cdot\|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \\
&\left(\textit{resp. } \left\|\left(\mathrm{Id} - P_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\right)s\right\|_{L^2(Z_{i,R})} \leq Ce^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}\cdot\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}\ \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Proof. Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(Z_R, \Lambda(T^*Z_R)\otimes F_R)$ consisting of smooth eigensections of $(D^{Z_R})^2$ such that

$$(D^{Z_R})^2\psi_i = \rho_i\psi_i, \quad 0 < \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \cdots \leq \rho_i \leq \cdots \to +\infty. \tag{3.53}$$

We expand s in term of this basis as $s = \sum_k a_k\psi_k$, then by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|\big(\mathrm{Id} - P^{[0,\exp(-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16})]}\big)s\big\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)} &= \sum_{\rho_k^2>\exp(-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{8})} a_k^2 \leq \sum_{\rho_k^2>\exp(-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{8})} e^{\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{8}}\rho_k^2 a_k^2 \\ &\leq e^{\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{8}}\|(D^{Z_R})^2 s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq Ce^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{8}}\|s\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Thus we get the first line in (3.52). For $i = 1, 2$, the estimates are obtained in the same way. The proof is completed. □

Proposition 3.11. *For $i = 1, 2$, there exist $R_0 > 0$ such that for all $R > R_0$,*
(a) *the projection $P_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}$ restricted on $\mathscr{W}_R$ is injective. In particular, $(D^{Z_R})^2$ has at least $\dim \mathscr{W}_R$ (see Def. 3.6) eigenvalues laying in $[0, e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]$;*
(b) *the projection $P_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}$ restricted to $\mathscr{W}_{i,R}$ is injective. In particular, $(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2$ has at least $\dim \mathscr{W}_{i,R}$ eigenvalues laying in $[0, e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]$.*

Proof. Let $s \in \mathscr{W}_R$ and assume that $P^{[0,\exp(-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16})]}s = 0$. By Lemma 3.10, we have for R sufficiently large

$$\|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)} = \big\|\big(\mathrm{Id} - P^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\big)s\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq Ce^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_R)}. \tag{3.55}$$

Thus we get part (a). The same proof gives part (b). □

3.3. **Eigenvalues bounded away from 0.** To prove Theorem 3.1, we establish first the following proposition:

Proposition 3.12. *There exist $R_0 > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that for any $R > R_0$ and $\psi \in \Omega(Z_R, F_R)$ such that*

$$(D^{Z_R})^2\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < \frac{3\delta}{4}, \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2(Z_R)} = 1, \tag{3.56}$$

and ψ lays in the orthogonal complement of $P_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\mathscr{W}_R$ in $L^2\left(Z_R, \Lambda(T^*Z_R)\otimes F_R\right)$, i.e., $\psi \in \left\{P_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\mathscr{W}_R\right\}^{\perp}$, then we get $\lambda \geq c > 0$. Consequently, the spectral projection

$$P_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]} : L^2\left(Z_R, \Lambda(T^*Z_R)\otimes F_R\right) \to \mathbb{F}_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}$$

restricted on the subspace $\mathscr{W}_R$ is surjective.

To prove Proposition 3.12, we need first to establish some lemmas. Let $h : [-1, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut-off function such that

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in [-1, -\frac{3}{4}], \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, +\infty). \end{cases} \tag{3.57}$$

We define $h_{1,R}: Z_{1,\infty}\to[0,1]$ and $h_{2,R}: Z_{2,\infty}\to[0,1]$ by

$$h_{1,R}(x)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } x\in Z_{1,R}\backslash Y_{[-R,0]},\\ h(\frac{x_m}{R}) & \text{for } (y,x_m)\in Y_{[-R,+\infty)};\end{cases}$$
$$h_{2,R}(x)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } x\in Z_{2,R}\backslash Y_{[0,R]},\\ h(-\frac{x_m}{R}) & \text{for } (y,x_m)\in Y_{(-\infty,R]}.\end{cases} \tag{3.58}$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{supp}(h_{1,R})\subset Z_{1,\infty}\backslash Y_{[-\frac{R}{2},+\infty)},\quad \mathrm{supp}(h_{2,R})\subset Z_{2,\infty}\backslash Y_{(-\infty,\frac{R}{2}]}. \tag{3.59}$$

Let ψ be given as in Propostion 3.12, then for $i=1,2$ we define $\psi^\infty_{i,R}$ on $Z_{i,\infty}$ as follows:

$$\psi^\infty_{i,R}=h_{i,R}(x)\psi(x). \tag{3.60}$$

Lemma 3.13. *For $i=1,2$, there exist $C>0$ and $R_0>0$ such that for any $R>R_0$,*
1) *we have*

$$\|\psi^\infty_{1,R}\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,\infty})}+\|\psi^\infty_{2,R}\|^2_{L^2(Z_{2,\infty})}\geq\frac{1}{8}; \tag{3.61}$$

2) *for any $s\in\mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{1,\infty}})^2$, we have*

$$|\langle\psi^\infty_{i,R},s\rangle_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})}|\leq Ce^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{32}R}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})}. \tag{3.62}$$

Proof. By our definition of $h_{1,R}$ and $h_{2,R}$, we see that

$$\mathrm{supp}(1-h_{1,R})\subset Y_{[-\frac{3R}{4},0]}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathrm{supp}(1-h_{2,R})\subset Y_{[0,\frac{3R}{4}]}. \tag{3.63}$$

By Lemma 3.2, (3.56), (3.60) and (3.63), we have for R sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^2\|\psi^\infty_{i,R}\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})}\geq&\sum_{i=1}^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\psi\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}-\|(1-h_{i,R})\psi\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}\right)\\ \geq&\frac{1}{2}-\int_{-\frac{3R}{4}}^{\frac{3R}{4}}\big\|\psi\big\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m\geq\frac{1}{2}-CR\,e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{8}R}\geq\frac{1}{4}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

By (3.39), (3.58) and (3.60), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\langle\psi^\infty_{1,R},s\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,\infty})}\big|=&\big|\big\langle h_{1,R}\psi,f_{1,R}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\big|\\ \leq&\big|\big\langle\psi,f_{1,R}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\big|+\big|\big\langle(1-h_{1,R})\psi,f_{1,R}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\big|.\end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

By Lemma 3.10, (3.56), the fact $\psi\in\big\{P_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\mathscr{W}_R\big\}^\perp$ and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have for any $f_{1,R}s\in\mathscr{W}_R$ and R sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle\psi,f_{1,R}s\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}|=&\big|\big\langle\psi,\big(\mathrm{Id}-P_{1,R}^{[0,\exp(-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16})]}\big)f_{1,R}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\big|\\ \leq&Ce^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}\|f_{1,R}s\|_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\leq Ce^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{1,\infty})}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

For the second summand of (3.65), by Lemma 3.2, (3.39) and (3.58) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big\langle(1-h_{1,R})\psi,f_{1,R}s\big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\big|\leq\|\psi\|_{L^2(Y_{[-\frac{3R}{4},0]})}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{1,\infty})}\\ &\leq CR^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{1,\infty})}\leq Ce^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{32}R}\|s\|_{L^2(Z_{1,\infty})}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

Then for $i = 1$ (3.62) follows from (3.65), (3.66) and (3.67). In the same way we get (3.62) for $i = 2$. □

Now we begin to prove our main result in this subsection.

Proof of Proposition 3.12. In [2, Prop. 4.9] Atiyah, Patodi and Singer given a topological interpretation of the dimension of the space $\mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^{2,(p)}$ of L^2-harmonic forms on $Z_{i,\infty}$. We have the following isomorphism: for $0 \leq p \leq m$

$$\mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^{2,(p)} \cong \mathrm{Im}\left\{H^p(Z_{i,R}, Y, F_R) \to H^p(Z_{i,R}, F_R)\right\}, \tag{3.68}$$

hence we have for $0 \leq p \leq m$ under the assumption (0.9)

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(p)}_{1,\infty} &:= \dim \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{1,\infty}})^{2,(p)} \leq \dim H^p(Z_1, F) = \dim \mathbb{F}^{\{0\},(p)}_{1,R}, \\ h^{(p)}_{2,\infty} &:= \dim \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{2,\infty}})^{2,(p)} \leq \dim H^p(Z_2, Y, F) = \dim \mathbb{F}^{\{0\},(p)}_{2,R}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\mathbb{T}_i$ be the orthonormal projection operator onto $\mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2$, then we define

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R} := \psi^{\infty}_{i,R} - \mathbb{T}_i\left(\psi^{\infty}_{i,R}\right) \in \left\{\mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2\right\}^{\perp}. \tag{3.70}$$

It follows form Lemma 3.13 and (3.70) that for R sufficiently large

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} \geq \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\psi^{\infty}_{i,R}\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} \geq \frac{1}{12}. \tag{3.71}$$

For $i = 1, 2$ and $b \in U \subset S$, as U is compact we set

$$\gamma_i = \inf_{b\in U} \min\left\{\lambda > 0 \middle| \, \lambda \in \mathrm{spec}(D^{Z_{i,\infty,b}})^2\right\} > 0, \quad \gamma_0 := \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}. \tag{3.72}$$

Then it follows from the Min-Max Principle (cf. [27, Appendix C.3]) that

$$\left\langle (D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}, \widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}\right\rangle_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} \geq \gamma_i \|\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})}. \tag{3.73}$$

By (3.56), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \left\langle (D^{Z_R})^2\psi, \psi\right\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)} = \|D^{Z_R}\psi\|^2_{L^2(Z_R)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\|D^{Z_R}h_{i,R}\psi + D^{Z_R}(1 - h_{i,R})\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\|D^{Z_{i,\infty}}\psi^{\infty}_{i,R}\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\|D^{Z_R}(1 - h_{i,R})\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

For the first term, by (3.70), (3.71), (3.72) and (3.73) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\|D^{Z_{i,\infty}}\psi^{\infty}_{i,R}\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\langle D^{Z_{i,\infty}}\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}, D^{Z_{i,\infty}}\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}\right\rangle_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left\langle (D^{Z_{i,\infty}})^2\widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}, \widetilde{\psi}_{i,R}\right\rangle_{L^2(Z_{i,\infty})} \geq \frac{\gamma_0}{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

Using the fact that $D^{Z_R}=\mathbf{c}(dx^m)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}+D^Y$ on $Y_{[-R,R]}$, where $\mathbf{c}(dx_m)=dx_m\wedge -i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m})$ denotes the Clifford action, we have $D^{Z_R}(1-h_{i,R})\psi=(1-h_{i,R})\left(D^{Z_R}\psi\right)-\mathbf{c}(dx_m)\frac{\partial h_{i,R}}{\partial x_m}\psi$, hence from Lemma 3.2, (3.57), (3.63), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|D^{Z_R}(1-h_{i,R})\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}\\
&\quad\leq 2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|(1-h_{i,R})\left(D^{Z_R}\psi\right)\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}+2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\|\mathbf{c}(dx_m)\frac{\partial h_{i,R}}{\partial x_m}\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}\\
&\quad\leq 2\int_{-\frac{3R}{4}}^{\frac{3R}{4}}\left\|D^{Z_R}\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m+\frac{2b_1}{R^2}\int_{-\frac{3R}{4}}^{-\frac{R}{2}}\left\|\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{2b_1}{R^2}\int_{\frac{R}{2}}^{\frac{3R}{4}}\left\|\psi\right\|^2_{L^2(Y\times\{x_m\})}dx_m\\
&\quad\leq 3C\lambda R\,e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}+2\times\frac{2b_1}{R^2}\frac{CR}{4}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}=3CR\,e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}\cdot\lambda+\frac{Cb_1}{R}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.76}$$

where we denote $b_1=\max_{u\in[-1,1]}\left|\frac{\partial h}{\partial u}(u)\right|^2$. By (3.74), (3.75) and (3.76), we get

$$\lambda\geq\frac{\gamma_0}{24}-3CR\,e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}\cdot\lambda-\frac{Cb_1}{R}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}.\tag{3.77}$$

Let R be enough large such that $3CR\,e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}\leq\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{Cb_1}{R}e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{16}R}\leq\frac{\gamma_0}{48}$, then we get from (3.76) that $\lambda\geq\frac{\gamma_0}{72}>0$. Now the proof of Proposition 3.12 is completed.

Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.12, by using Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.13, we have

Proposition 3.14. *For $i=1,2$, there exist constants $c>0$ and $R_0>0$ such that for any $R>R_0$ and $\psi_i\in\Omega_{\mathrm{bd}}\left(Z_{i,R},F_R\right)$ such that*

$$(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2\psi_i=\lambda_i\psi_i,\quad 0\leq\lambda_i<\frac{3\delta}{4},\quad \|\psi_i\|_{L^2(Z_{i,R})}=1,\tag{3.78}$$

and ψ_i lays in the orthogonal complement of $P_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\mathscr{W}_{i,R}$ in $L^2\left(Z_{i,R},\Lambda(T^*Z_{i,R})\otimes F_R\right)$ i.e., $\psi_i\in\left\{P_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}\mathscr{W}_{i,R}\right\}^{\perp}$, then we have $\lambda_i\geq c>0$. Consequently, the spectral projection

$$P_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}:L^2\left(Z_{i,R},\Lambda(T^*Z_{i,R})\otimes F_{i,R}\right)\to\mathbb{F}_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}]}$$

restricted on the subspace $\mathscr{W}_{i,R}$ is surjective.

In fact we will show in the following paragraph that $(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2, i=1,2$, does not have the non-trivial exponentially decreasing small eigenvalues when R goes to infinity.

Proposition 3.15. *There exist $R_0>0$ such that for $0\leq p\leq m$, $i=1,2$ and $R>R_0$, under the assumption (0.9), we have*

$$\dim\mathbb{F}_{i,R}^{(0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}],(p)}=0,\quad h_{i,\infty}^{(p)}=h_i^{(p)},\quad 0\leq p\leq m.\tag{3.79}$$

Moreover

$$\dim \mathbb{F}_R^{(0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}],(p)}=0,\quad 0\leq p\leq m. \tag{3.80}$$

Proof. In fact, by Propositions 3.11, 3.12, 3.14, we have proved that

$$\dim \mathbb{F}_{i,R}^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}],(p)}=h_{i,\infty}^{(p)},\quad i=1,2. \tag{3.81}$$

By (3.69) and (3.81), we get (3.79). It means that $(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2$ don't have the non-trivial small eigenvalues decreasing exponentially when R goes to infinity. By Definition 3.6, (2.4) and (3.79), we get $\dim \mathbb{F}_R^{[0,e^{-\frac{R\sqrt{\delta}}{16}}],(p)}=h_{1,\infty}^{(p)}+h_{2,\infty}^{(p)}=h_1^{(p)}+h_2^{(p)}=h^{(p)}=\dim \mathbb{F}_R^{\{0\},(p)}$, this implies (3.80). The proof is completed. □

Finally, **Theorem 3.1** follows from Propositions 3.11, 3.12, and 3.15.

Lemma 3.16. *For $i=1,2$, there exist $R_0>0$ such that for $R>R_0$ we have the linear isomorphism*

$$P_R^{\{0\}}:\mathscr{W}_R\cong \mathrm{Ker}(D^{Z_R})^2\quad (\textit{resp. } P_{i,R}^{\{0\}}:\mathscr{W}_{i,R}\cong \mathrm{Ker}(D^{Z_{i,R}})^2). \tag{3.82}$$

Proof. The injectivity is a consequence of Propositions 3.11. The surjectivity is a consequence of Propositions 3.12, 3.14 and 3.15. □

3.4. **Adiabatic limit of the large time contribution $L(R)$.** In this subsection we will treat the large time contribution (2.7). We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.17. *Under the assumption* (0.9)*, we have* $\lim_{R\to\infty}L(R)=0$.

Then the rest part of this subsection will be contributed to prove this theorem. By Definition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1, there exists $c>0$ such that for R sufficiently large and $b\in U$

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathscr{F}_R^{(0)})\subset\{0\}\cup[c,+\infty),\quad \mathrm{Spec}(\mathscr{F}_{i,R}^{(0)})\subset\{0\}\cup[c,+\infty). \tag{3.83}$$

By (2.12), we have

$$|\mathrm{Spec}(C_{R,t}^2)|\subset\{0\}\cup[\frac{ct}{4},+\infty),\quad |\mathrm{Spec}(C_{i,R,t}^2)|\subset\{0\}\cup[\frac{ct}{4},+\infty). \tag{3.84}$$

Let δ be a circle centered at 0 with radius $\frac{\sqrt{c}}{8}$ and $\Delta=\Delta^+\cup\Delta^-$ be the contour indicated by Figure 2.

For $i=1,2$, let

$$B_R=(d^{M_R})^*-d^{M_R}\quad (\text{resp. } B_{i,R}=(d^{M_{i,R}})^*-d^{M_{i,R}}\ ). \tag{3.85}$$

Then we put

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}_{R,t}^{\{0\}}=\frac{1}{2i\pi}\psi_t^{-1}\int_\delta\frac{f'(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\lambda-B_R}d\lambda\cdot\psi_t,\quad \mathbf{K}_{R,t}=\frac{1}{2i\pi}\psi_t^{-1}\int_\Delta\frac{f'(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\lambda-B_R}d\lambda\cdot\psi_t,\\
&\mathbf{P}_{i,R,t}^{\{0\}}=\frac{1}{2i\pi}\psi_t^{-1}\int_\delta\frac{f'(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\lambda-B_{i,R}}d\lambda\cdot\psi_t,\quad \mathbf{K}_{i,R,t}=\frac{1}{2i\pi}\psi_t^{-1}\int_\Delta\frac{f'(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\lambda-B_{i,R}}d\lambda\cdot\psi_t,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

then by (3.84) and (3.86), we have

$$f'(D_{R,t})=\mathbf{P}_{R,t}^{\{0\}}+\mathbf{K}_{R,t},\quad f'(D_{i,R,t})=\mathbf{P}_{i,R,t}^{\{0\}}+\mathbf{K}_{i,R,t}. \tag{3.87}$$



FIGURE 2.

Let $L \in \Lambda(T^*S) \otimes \mathrm{End}(\Omega(Z_R, F_R))$, then for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\|L\|_q := \{\mathrm{Tr}(L^*L)^{q/2}\}^{1/q}, \tag{3.88}$$

when $q = \infty$ we set $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ to be the operator norm, i.e., $\|A\|_\infty = \sup_{\|s\|_{L^2}=1} \|As\|_{L^2}$. Moreover, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\|A\|_p$ and $\|B\|_\infty$ exist, then we have a useful inequality

$$\|AB\|_p \leq \|A\|_p \|B\|_\infty. \tag{3.89}$$

Lemma 3.18. *For $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$ fixed, $i = 1, 2$, and $p > \dim(Z_R)$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for any $R \geq 1$*

$$\|(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1}\|_p \leq C R^{\frac{N_0}{p}} \quad (\textit{resp. } \|(\lambda_0 - B_{i,R})^{-1}\|_p \leq C R^{\frac{N_0}{p}}). \tag{3.90}$$

Proof. By (3.83), for $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$, we see that $(\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})^*(\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})$ is a self-adjoint and strictly positive operator. If we set $\widehat{H}_R := (\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})^*(\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})$, then we have

$$\widehat{H}_R = (D^{Z_R})^2 + 2i\mathrm{Im}(\lambda_0) B_R^{(0)} + |\lambda_0|^2. \tag{3.91}$$

Hence $\widehat{H}_R$ is a self-adjoint positive generalized Laplacian. By [3, Thm. 2.38], for $k > 1 + \frac{\dim(Z_R)+l}{2}$, the operator $\widehat{H}^{-k}$ has a C^l-kernel given by

$$\widehat{H}_R^{-k}(x, x') = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\widehat{H}_R}(x, x') t^{k-1} dt. \tag{3.92}$$

From (3.92) and the proof of [3, Thm. 2.38], we see that there exists $C_l > 0$ such that for $R \geq 1$ and $(x, x') \in Z_R \times Z_R$

$$\left| \widehat{H}_R^{-k}(x, x') \right|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l R. \tag{3.93}$$

(We remark that the factor R at the right side of (3.93) comes from the dependance of the volume of Z_R on the length R of its cylinder part in the proof of [3, Prop. 2.37], which was applied to prove [3, Thm. 2.38] in our case. To prove a similar proposition

as [3, Prop. 2.37], we have essentially used the fact that the spectral of $(D^{Z_R})^2$ has a uniform gap away from 0 (see Theorem 3.1).) By (3.88), (3.92) and (3.93), we get for $p > \dim(Z_R)$

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})^{-1}\|_p &= \Big\{\mathrm{Tr}[\widehat{H}_R^{-p/2}]\Big\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left\{\int_{Z_R} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\widehat{H}_R^{-p/2}(x,x)\right] dv_{Z_R}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C R^{\frac{2}{p}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.94}$$

We have the expansion

$$(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1} = (\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})^{-1} + (\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})^{-1} B^{(\geq 1)} (\lambda_0 - B_R^{(0)})^{-1} + \cdots, \tag{3.95}$$

where the expansion only contains a finite number of terms and $B^{(\geq 1)}$ is an operator of order 0. Since $\|B^{(\geq 1)}\|_\infty \leq \infty$, by (3.94) and (3.95), we see that (3.90) holds. For $i = 1, 2$, we follows the same proof. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 3.19. *For $i = 1, 2$, under assumption (0.9) we have*

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \varphi \,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\frac{N}{2}\mathbf{K}_{R,t}\right]\frac{dt}{t} = 0 \quad (\textit{resp.} \lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \varphi \,\mathrm{Tr}_s\left[\frac{N}{2}\mathbf{K}_{i,R,t}\right]\frac{dt}{t} = 0). \tag{3.96}$$

Proof. Take $p \in \mathbb{N}, p > m$. There exist a unique function $k_p(\lambda)$, holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$, such that (cf. [5, Prop. 3.41])

-As $\lambda \to \pm i\infty$, $k_p(\lambda) \to 0$.

-The following identity holds

$$\frac{k_p^{(p-1)}(\lambda)}{(p-1)!} = f'(\lambda). \tag{3.97}$$

Clearly, if $\lambda \in \Delta$,

$$|\mathrm{Re}(\lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\mathrm{Im}(\lambda)|. \tag{3.98}$$

Using (3.98), we find that there exist $C > 0$, $C' > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in \Delta$,

$$|k_p(\sqrt{t}\lambda)| \leq C \exp(-C' t|\lambda|^2). \tag{3.99}$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_\Delta \frac{f'(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\lambda - B_R} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_\Delta \frac{k_p(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{t^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(\lambda - B_R)^p} d\lambda. \tag{3.100}$$

If $\lambda \in \Delta$, we have the expansion

$$(\lambda - B_R)^{-1} = (\lambda - B_R^{(0)})^{-1} + (\lambda - B_R^{(0)})^{-1} B^{(\geq 1)} (\lambda - B_R^{(0)})^{-1} + \cdots, \tag{3.101}$$

where the expansion only contains a finite number of terms and $B^{(\geq 1)}$ is an operator of order 0. By (3.83) and (3.101), we find that there exist $C > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in \Delta$, for any $R \geq 1$

$$\|(\lambda - B_R)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq C. \tag{3.102}$$

Fix $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$. If $\lambda \in \Delta$, we have

$$(\lambda - B_R)^{-1} = (\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1} - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1}(\lambda - B_R)^{-1}. \tag{3.103}$$

By (3.90), (3.102), (3.89) and (3.103), we find that for $\lambda \in \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\lambda - B_R)^{-1}\|_p &\leq \|(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1}\|_p + |\lambda - \lambda_0| \cdot \|(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1}(\lambda - B_R)^{-1}\|_p \\ &\leq \|(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1}\|_p - |\lambda - \lambda_0| \cdot \|(\lambda_0 - B_R)^{-1}\|_p \|(\lambda - B_R)^{-1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq C(1 + |\lambda - \lambda_0|) R^{\frac{N_0}{p}} \leq C'(1 + |\lambda|) R^{\frac{N_0}{p}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.104}$$

From (3.104), we get

$$\|(\lambda - B_R)^{-p}\|_1 \leq (\|(\lambda - B_R)^{-1}\|_p)^p \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^p R^{N_0}. \tag{3.105}$$

By (2.77), (3.86), (3.99), (3.100) and (3.105), we get for $t \geq 1$ and R sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s[\frac{N}{2}\mathbf{K}_{R,t}]\right| &\leq C(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}}) \cdot \Big\| \int_\Delta \frac{k_p(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\sqrt{t}^{p-1}(\lambda - B_R)^p} d\lambda \Big\|_1 \\ &\leq C_1(1 + t^{-\frac{n}{2}}) t^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_\Delta \exp(-C't|\lambda|^2) \big\|(\lambda - B_R)^{-p}\big\|_1 d\lambda \\ &\leq C_2 R^{N_0} \exp(-C_3 t).\end{aligned} \tag{3.106}$$

From (3.106), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big| \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^\infty \varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s[\frac{N}{2}\mathbf{K}_{R,t}] \frac{dt}{t} \Big| &\leq C R^{N_0} \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^\infty \exp(-C't) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq C'' R^{N_0} \exp(-C' R^{2-\varepsilon}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.107}$$

from which we get (3.96). The proof of (3.96) for $i = 1, 2$, is the same. The proof is completed. □

Definition 3.20. For $i = 1, 2$, let $V_R = (d^{Z_R})^* - d^{Z_R}$ and $V_{i,R} = (d^{Z_{i,R}})^* - d^{Z_{i,R}}$. Let $P^{\{0\}}_{R,t}$ (resp. $P^{\{0\}}_{i,R,t}$) denote the $0-$degree component of $\mathbf{P}^{\{0\}}_{R,t}$ (resp. $\mathbf{P}^{\{0\}}_{i,R,t}$), which is a projection from $\pi^*(\Lambda(T^*S))\widehat{\otimes}\Omega(Z_R, F_R)$ (resp. $\pi^*(\Lambda(T^*S))\widehat{\otimes}\Omega(Z_{i,R}, F_R)$) to the kernel of V_R (resp. $V_{i,R}$).

Lemma 3.21. *For $i = 1, 2$, there exist $t_0 > 1$ and $C > 0$, such that for any $t > t_0$, $R > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s\big[\frac{N}{2}\mathbf{P}^{\{0\}}_{R,t}\big] - \frac{1}{2}\chi'(Z, F)\Big| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} \\ &(\textit{resp.} \ \Big|\varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s\big[\frac{N}{2}\mathbf{P}^{\{0\}}_{i,R,t}\big] - \frac{1}{2}\chi'_{\mathrm{bd}}(Z_i, F)\Big| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}\ ).\end{aligned} \tag{3.108}$$

Proof. To prove this lemma, we will follow the method used in [5, Thm. 3.42] (cf. also [5, Thm. 2.11]). By (3.84), (3.86) and the fact that $D_{R,t} = \psi_t^{-1}\sqrt{t}B_R\psi_t$ (cf. [5, Prop. 3.17]), we get for $t > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}^{\{0\}}_{R,t} &= \frac{1}{2i\pi}\psi_t^{-1} \int_{\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{f'(\sqrt{t}\lambda)}{\lambda - B_R} d\lambda \cdot \psi_t \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi}\psi_t^{-1} \int_\delta \frac{f'(\lambda)}{\lambda - \sqrt{t}B_R} d\lambda \cdot \psi_t = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_\delta \frac{f'(\lambda)}{\lambda - D_{R,t}} d\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{3.109}$$

Now we have the expansion

$$(\lambda - D_{R,t})^{-1} = (\lambda - \sqrt{t}B_R^{(0)})^{-1} + (\lambda - \sqrt{t}B_R^{(0)})^{-1} D_{R,t}^{(\geq 1)} (\lambda - \sqrt{t}B_R^{(0)})^{-1} + \cdots, \tag{3.110}$$

and the expansion in (3.110) only contains a finite number of terms. By (3.84), 0 is the only element inside the domain bounded by δ which may lie in the spectrum of $B_R^{(0)}$. Using (3.109), (3.110) and the theorem of residues, we get for $t \geq 1$

$$\mathbf{P}_{R,t}^{\{0\}} = \sum_{p=0}^{\dim S} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_0 \leq p+1 \\ j_1, \cdots, j_{p+1-i_0} \geq 0 \\ \sum_{m=1}^{p+1-i_0} j_m \leq i_0 - 1}} \frac{f^{(i_0 - \sum_{m=0}^{p+1-i_0} j_m)}(0)}{(i_0 - 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{p+1-i_0} j_m)!} (-1)^{p+1-i_0} T_{R,1} D_{R,t}^{(\geq 1)} T_{R,2} \cdots D_{R,t}^{(\geq 1)} T_{R,p+1}. \tag{3.111}$$

In (3.111), i_0 of the $T_{R,j}$ are equal to $P_R^{\{0\}}$, and the other $T_{R,j}$ are equal respectively to $(\sqrt{t}B_R^{(0)})^{-(1+j_1)}, \cdots, (\sqrt{t}B_R^{(0)})^{-(1+j_{p+1-i_0})}$. In particular, $\mathbf{P}_{R,t}^{\{0\}}$ is a polynomial in the variable $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$, whose the constant term is given by

$$P_R^{\{0\}} f'(\frac{\omega(\nabla^{W_R}, h^{W_R})}{2}) P_R^{\{0\}}, \tag{3.112}$$

when all $T_{R,j}$ are equal to $P_R^{\{0\}}$. We have (cf. [6, Prop. 3.14])

$$\omega(\nabla^{H(Z_R,F_R)}, h_{L^2}^{H(Z_R,F_R)}) = P_R^{\{0\}} \omega(\nabla^{W_R}, h^{W_R}) P_R^{\{0\}}. \tag{3.113}$$

We observe that in (3.111), $i_0 \geq 1$, so that $P_R^{\{0\}}$ appears at least once. Now $P_R^{\{0\}}$ is a projector on a finite dimensional vector bundle, and in particular it is trace class whose $\| \ \|_1$ norm is bounded uniformly with repect to R. We note that all the coefficients of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$ in $D_{R,t}^{(\geq 1)}$ are bounded operators in norm $\| \ \|_\infty$ uniformly with respect to $R > 0$. And using the existence of the uniform spectral gap of $B_R^{(0)}$ with respect to $R > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $R > 0$

$$\|(B_R^{(0)})^{-(1+j_m)}\|_\infty \leq C. \tag{3.114}$$

Hence, by (3.89), (3.111), (3.112) and (3.113), we get

$$\left\| \mathbf{P}_{R,t}^{\{0\}} - f'(\frac{\omega(\nabla^{H(Z_R,F_R)}, h_{L^2}^{H(Z_R,F_R)})}{2}) \right\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}. \tag{3.115}$$

By [6, Prop. 1.3], we have (cf. also [6, (2.55), (2.56)])

$$\varphi \operatorname{Tr}_s \big[\frac{N}{2} f'(\frac{\omega(\nabla^{H(Z_R,F_R)}, h_{L^2}^{H(Z_R,F_R)})}{2}) \big] = \frac{1}{2} \chi'(Z, F). \tag{3.116}$$

Then (3.108) follows from (3.115) and (3.116). In the same way, we get (3.108) for $i = 1, 2$. The proof is completed. □

By Lemmas 3.19, 3.21, (2.4), (2.7) and (3.87), we get Theorem 3.17.

4. Contribution of the long exact sequence in the adiabatic limit

In Section 4.1, we compute the limit of the torsion form $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R})$ when $R \to \infty$. In Section 4.2, we prove Lemma 4.3. In Section 4.3, we prove Lemma 4.10.

4.1. Adiabatic limit of the torsion forms $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R})$.

In this section we will treat the limit of the torsion forms $T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R})$, when $R \to \infty$.

Theorem 4.1. *Under the assumption* (0.9)*, we have* $\lim_{R\to\infty} T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R}, h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R}) = 0$.

Then **Theorem 0.2** follows from Theorems 2.1, 3.17, 4.1, (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

In the rest part of this section we will prove this theorem. Let

$$\begin{aligned} m^{(p)} := \operatorname{rk} C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_Z, F\right), \quad m_1^{(p)} &:= \operatorname{rk} C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1}, F\right), \\ m_2^{(p)} &:= \operatorname{rk} C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y, F\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

then we have $m_p = m_{1,p} + m_{2,p}$.

Lemma 4.2. *The short exact sequence* (2.2) *splits canonically such that*

$$H^p(Z_R, F_R) \cong H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R) \oplus H^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\nabla^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)} = \nabla^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)} \oplus \nabla^{H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Since we have the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^p(Z_{1,R}, Y, F_{1,R}) \xrightarrow{k_p^*} H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R}) \to H^p(Y, F) \to \cdots, \tag{4.4}$$

by our assumption (0.9) we get an isomorphism $k_p^* : H^p(Z_{1,R}, Y, F_{1,R}) \cong H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R})$. Using the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R) & \xrightarrow{j_p^*} & H^p(Z_R, F_R) & \xrightarrow{i_p^*} & H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R) & \longrightarrow & 0, \\ & & & & & \overset{l_p^*}{\nwarrow} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle k_p^*}\wr & & \\ & & & & & & H^p(Z_{1,R}, Y, F_R) & & \end{array} \tag{4.5}$$

we choose a special inverse of i_p^*, denoted by $(i_p^*)^{-1}$, to embed $H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R)$ into $H^p(Z_R, F_R)$ as a subbundle, such that

$$(i_p^*)^{-1} = l_p^* \circ (k_p^*)^{-1}. \tag{4.6}$$

On other hand, we embed $H^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R)$ into $H^p(Z_R, F_R)$ trivially by j_p^*. We will show that (4.3) is true under the isomorphisms selected as above. These canonical connections induced by ∇^F on these vector bundles of fiberwise cohomology group in diagram (4.5) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &j_p^* \circ \nabla^{H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)} = \nabla^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)} \circ j_p^*, \quad i_p^* \circ \nabla^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)} = \nabla^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)} \circ i_p^*, \\ &k_p^* \circ \nabla^{H^p(Z_{1,R},Y,F_R)} = \nabla^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)} \circ k_p^*, \quad l_p^* \circ \nabla^{H^p(Z_{1,R},Y,F_R)} = \nabla^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)} \circ l_p^*. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.6) and (4.7), we get

$$\nabla^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)} \circ (i_p^*)^{-1} = (i_p^*)^{-1} \circ \nabla^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)}. \tag{4.8}$$

Then (4.3) follows from the first identity of (4.7) and (4.8). □

Under the identification of (4.2), $h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}$ and $h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)}\oplus h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)}$ are two L^2-metrics on $H^p(Z_R,F_R)$. Next, we will show that these two Hermitian metrics differ by a term decreasing exponentially when R goes to infinity. We formulate it as the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *There exist $c>0$ such that for $R\geq 1$*

$$h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}=\Big(h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)}\oplus h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)}\Big)\cdot(1+\mathcal{O}(e^{-cR})). \tag{4.9}$$

By (4.3) and (4.9), we get (cf. [6, Appendix I])

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}T_f(A^{\mathscr{H}_R^p},h_{L^2}^{\mathscr{H}_R^p})=0,\quad 0\leq p\leq m, \tag{4.10}$$

then **Theorem 4.1** follows from (2.3) and (4.10). Next subsection will be contributed to prove Lemma 4.3.

4.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.3.** Let $\{\mathfrak{a}_i|1\leq i\leq m_1^{(p)}\}$ (resp. $\{\mathfrak{b}_j|1\leq j\leq m_2^{(p)}\}$) be a local frame of the bundle of chain group $C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_1},F^*\right)$ (resp. $C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_2}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F^*\right)$). Recall that the diffeomorphism $\phi_R:M\to M_R$ has been constructed in Lemma 1.12. We set $\mathfrak{a}_{R,i}=\phi_R(\mathfrak{a}_i)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}_{R,j}=\phi_R(\mathfrak{b}_j)$) which constitute a local frame of $C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{1,R}},F_{1,R}^*\right)$ (resp. $C_p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{2,R}}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F_{2,R}^*\right)$). Let $\{\mathfrak{a}_R^i\}$ (resp. $\{\mathfrak{b}_R^j\}$) be a local frame of $C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{1,R}},F_R\right)$ (resp. $C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{2,R}}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F_R\right)$) such that

$$\langle\mathfrak{a}_R^{i_1},\mathfrak{a}_{R,i_2}\rangle=\delta_{i_2}^{i_1}\quad(\text{resp. }\langle\mathfrak{b}_R^{j_1},\mathfrak{b}_{R,j_2}\rangle=\delta_{j_2}^{j_1}), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the paring between the cochain group and chain group.

Remark 4.4. In all the rest of this subsection, we use $\{\mathfrak{a},a,\alpha,\widehat{\alpha},\widetilde{\alpha}\}$ (resp. $\{\mathfrak{b},b,\beta,\widehat{\beta},\widetilde{\beta}\}$) with low or upper indices to denote the various objects related to $Z_{1,R}$ (resp. $Z_{2,R}$).

For any $R\geq 0$, let $\{\alpha_{i,R}\in\mathscr{H}^p\left(Z_{1,R},F_R\right)|1\leq i\leq h_1^{(p)}\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $\mathscr{H}^p\left(Z_{1,R},F_R\right)$ and $\{\beta_{j,R}\in\mathscr{H}^p\left(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R\right)|1\leq j\leq h_2^{(p)}\}$ be an orthonormal frame of $\mathscr{H}^p\left(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R\right)$, so we have

$$\langle\alpha_{i,R},\alpha_{i',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}=\delta_{ii'},\quad\langle\beta_{j,R},\beta_{j',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_{2,R})}=\delta_{jj'}. \tag{4.12}$$

By (1.76) and Definition 1.11, there exist

$$\begin{aligned}&\{a_R^i\in\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\partial}\cap C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{1,R}},F_R\right)|1\leq i\leq h_1^{(p)}\},\\&\{b_R^j\in\operatorname{Ker}\widetilde{\partial}\cap C^p\left(\mathbb{K}_{Z_{2,R}}/\mathbb{K}_Y,F_R\right)|1\leq j\leq h_2^{(p)}\},\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

given by

$$a_R^i=\sum_i\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{a}_{R,i}}\alpha_{i,R}\Big)\cdot\mathfrak{a}_R^i,\quad b_R^j=\sum_j\Big(\int_{\mathfrak{b}_{R,j}}\beta_{j,R}\Big)\cdot\mathfrak{b}_R^j, \tag{4.14}$$

such that

$$P_{1,R}^\infty(\alpha_{i,R})=[a_R^i],\quad P_{2,R}^\infty(\beta_{j,R})=[b_R^j]. \tag{4.15}$$

Their cohomology classes $\{[a_R^i]|1\leq i\leq h_1^{(p)}\}$, $\{[b_R^j]|1\leq j\leq h_2^{(p)}\}$ constitute frames of $H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)$, $H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)$ respectively.

Lemma 4.5. *When $R \to \infty$, the coefficients appearing at the right of* (4.14) *increase with an order of $\mathcal{O}(R)$, i.e.,*

$$\int_{\mathfrak{a}_{R,i'}} \alpha_{i,R} = \mathcal{O}(R), \quad \int_{\mathfrak{b}_{R,j'}} \beta_{j,R} = \mathcal{O}(R). \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. By (4.12), we have that $\|\alpha_{i,R}\|_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} = \|\beta_{j,R}\|_{L^2(Z_{2,R})} = 1$ and

$$\big(D^{Z_{1,R}}\big)^{2k}\alpha_{i,R} = 0, \quad \big(D^{Z_{2,R}}\big)^{2k}\beta_{j,R} = 0, \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.17}$$

For $k > m+l$, by Sobolev inequality and elliptic estimates we get that there exist $C_l > 0$ such that for any $R \geq 1$, $x \in Z_{1,R}$ and $x' \in Z_{2,R}$

$$\|\alpha_{i,R}(x)\|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l, \quad \|\beta_{j,R}(x')\|_{\mathscr{C}^l} \leq C_l. \tag{4.18}$$

By Remark 1.16 and (4.18), we get

$$\Big|\int_{\mathfrak{a}_{R,i'}} \alpha_{i,R}\Big| \leq C_l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(\mathfrak{a}_{R,i'}) \leq CR, \tag{4.19}$$

so we have proved the first estimate in (4.16). In the same way, we get the second estimate. □

By the definition of the L^2-metric on $H^p(Z_{1,R}, F_R)$ and $H^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_R)$, we have by (4.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [a_R^i], [a_R^{i'}] \rangle_{h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)}} &= \langle \alpha_{i,R}, \alpha_{i',R} \rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} = \delta_{ii'}, \\ \langle [b_R^j], [b_R^{j'}] \rangle_{h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)}} &= \langle \beta_{j,R}, \beta_{j',R} \rangle_{L^2(Z_{2,R})} = \delta_{jj'}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

By the identification (4.2), we obtain a frame of $H^p(Z_R, F_R)$, that's

$$\{(i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], j_p^*[b_R^l] \big| 1 \leq k \leq h_1^{(p)}, 1 \leq l \leq h_2^{(p)}\}. \tag{4.21}$$

By (1.76), there exist $\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widetilde{\beta}_{l,R} \in \mathscr{H}^p(Z_R, F_R) \,|1 \leq k \leq h_1^{(p)}, 1 \leq l \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$, a frame of $\mathscr{H}^p(Z_R, F_R)$, such that

$$P_R^\infty(\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}) = (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], \quad P_R^\infty(\widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}) = j_p^*[b_R^l]. \tag{4.22}$$

By Lemma 3.16, there exist $s_{1,i} \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{1,\infty}})^{2,(p)}$, $1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)}$, and $s_{2,j} \in \mathrm{Ker}_{L^2}(D^{Z_{2,\infty}})^{2,(p)}$, $1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}$, such that

$$P_{1,R}^{\{0\}}\big(f_{1,R}s_{1,i}\big) = \alpha_{i,R}, \quad P_{2,R}^{\{0\}}\big(f_{2,R}s_{2,j}\big) = \beta_{j,R}. \tag{4.23}$$

Lemma 4.6. *For $1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)}, 1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}$, $\nu = 1, 2$ and R large enough, we have*

$$\|f_{\nu,R}s_{\nu,i}\|_{L^2(Z_{\nu,R})} \leq 2. \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.10, (4.12) and (4.23) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\nu,R}s_{\nu,k}\|_{L^2(Z_{\nu,R})} &\leq \Big\|P_{\nu,R}^{\{0\}} f_{\nu,R}s_{\nu,k}\Big\|_{L^2(Z_{\nu,R})} + \Big\|\Big(\mathrm{Id} - P_{\nu,R}^{\{0\}}\Big) f_{\nu,R}s_{\nu,k}\Big\|_{L^2(Z_{\nu,R})} \\ &\leq 1 + Ce^{-cR} \cdot \|f_{\nu,R}s_{\nu,k}\|_{L^2(Z_{\nu,R})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Take R large enough, we get (4.24) from (4.25). □

Definition 4.7. We define, for $1 \leq k \leq h_1^{(p)}, 1 \leq l \leq h_2^{(p)}$,

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{k,R} = P_R^{\{0\}}\big(f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\big), \quad \widehat{\beta}_{l,R} = P_R^{\{0\}}\big(f_{2,R}s_{2,l}\big), \tag{4.26}$$

and by (3.82) of Lemma 3.16, they constitute a frame of $\mathscr{H}^p\left(Z_R, F_R\right)$ for R large enough.

Now we expand the frame $\{\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}\}$ in term of the frame $\{\widehat{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widehat{\beta}_{l,R}\}$, and denote the matrix of coefficients by $\Theta_R = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_R^1 & \Theta_R^2 \\ \Theta_R^3 & \Theta_R^4 \end{pmatrix}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \big(\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}\big)_{h_1^{(p)}\times 1} \\ \big(\widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}\big)_{h_2^{(p)}\times 1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_R^1 & \Theta_R^2 \\ \Theta_R^3 & \Theta_R^4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \big(\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}\big)_{h_1^{(p)}\times 1} \\ \big(\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}\big)_{h_2^{(p)}\times 1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.27}$$

We use $\mathrm{H}_R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{H}_R^1 & \mathrm{H}_R^2 \\ \mathrm{H}_R^3 & \mathrm{H}_R^4 \end{pmatrix}$ to represent the matrix form of the L^2-metric $h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}$ with respect to the basis $\{(i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^i],\, j_p^*[b_R^l] | 1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)},\, 1 \leq l \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$, such that for $\{1 \leq k, k' \leq h_1^{(p)}, 1 \leq l, l' \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{H}_R^1)_{kk'} &:= \langle (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^{k'}]\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}} = \langle \widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{k',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}, \\
(\mathrm{H}_R^2)_{kl'} &:= \langle (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], j_p^*[b_R^{l'}]\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}} = \langle \widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widetilde{\beta}_{l',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}, \\
(\mathrm{H}_R^3)_{lk'} &:= \langle j_p^*[b_R^l], (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^{k'}]\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}} = \langle \widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}, \widetilde{\alpha}_{k',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}, \\
(\mathrm{H}_R^4)_{ll'} &:= \langle j_p^*[b_R^l], j_p^*[b_R^{l'}]\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{H^p(Z_R,F_R)}} = \langle \widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}, \widetilde{\beta}_{l',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Set $\mathrm{G}_R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{G}_R^1 & \mathrm{G}_R^2 \\ \mathrm{G}_R^3 & \mathrm{G}_R^4 \end{pmatrix}$, such that for $\{1 \leq k, k' \leq h_1^{(p)}, 1 \leq l, l' \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{G}_R^1)_{kk'} &:= \langle \widehat{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}, \qquad (\mathrm{G}_R^2)_{kl'} := \langle \widehat{\alpha}_{k,R}, \widehat{\beta}_{l',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}, \\
(\mathrm{G}_R^3)_{lk'} &:= \langle \widehat{\beta}_{l,R}, \widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}, \qquad (\mathrm{G}_R^4)_{ll'} := \langle \widehat{\beta}_{l,R}, \widehat{\beta}_{l',R}\rangle_{L^2(Z_R)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

By (4.2), (4.20) and (4.28), the equation (4.9) is equivalent to prove that there exists $c > 0$ such that for $R \to \infty$

$$\mathrm{H}_R = \mathrm{I}_{h^{(p)}\times h^{(p)}} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-cR}). \tag{4.30}$$

By (4.27), (4.28) and (4.29), we get

$$\mathrm{H}_R = \Theta_R \mathrm{G}_R \Theta_R^*, \tag{4.31}$$

so in order to prove (4.30) we need to study the asymptotic behaviors of G_R and Θ_R when R goes to infinity. The following lemma will help us to establish the asymptotic estimate of G_R for $R \to \infty$.

Lemma 4.8. *There exist $C > 0$, $c > 0$ and $R_0 > 0$ such that for any $R > R_0$, $b \in U$, $1 \leq k \leq h_1^{(p)}$, $1 \leq l \leq h_2^{(p)}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{\alpha}_{k,R}(x) - \alpha_{k,R}(x)|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR}, \qquad \textit{for any } x \in Z_{1,R} \\
&|\widehat{\beta}_{l,R}(x) - \beta_{l,R}(x)|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR}, \qquad \textit{for any } x \in Z_{2,R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\widehat{\alpha}_{k,R}(x)|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR}, \qquad \textit{for any } x \in Z_{2,R}\\
&|\widehat{\beta}_{l,R}(x)|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR}, \qquad \textit{for any } x \in Z_{1,R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}
$$

Proof. We set the $\alpha_{i,R}(x) = 0$ for $x \in Z_{2,R}$ and $\beta_{j,R}(x) = 0$ for $x \in Z_{1,R}$. By (4.23) and (4.26), we have for $x \in Z_R$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big(\widehat{\alpha}_{i,R} - \alpha_{i,R}\big)(x) &= \big(P_R^{\{0\}} - e^{-R(D^{Z_R})^2}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}(x)\\
&\quad + \big(e^{-R(D^{Z_R})^2} - e^{-R(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}(x) + \big(e^{-R(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2} - P_{1,R}^{\{0\}}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}
$$

For all $x, y \in Z_R$ we have

$$
\big|(P_R^{\{0\}} - e^{-R(D^{Z_R})^2})(x,y)\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR}. \tag{4.35}
$$

By Lemma 4.6 and (4.35), we get for all $x \in Z_R$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\big|\big(P_R^{\{0\}} - e^{-R(D^{Z_R})^2}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}(x)\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0} &\leq Ce^{-cR} \int_{Z_{1,R}} \big|(f_{1,R}s_{1,k})(y)\big| dv_{Z_b}(y)\\
&\leq Ce^{-cR}\mathrm{Vol}(Z_{1,R})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\|_{L^2(Z_R)} \leq C'e^{-c'R}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}
$$

In the same way, we get

$$
\big|\big(e^{-R(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2} - P_{1,R}^{\{0\}}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}(x)\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR}. \tag{4.37}
$$

We treat the second term at the right of (4.34) by two cases. First, for $t > 0$, $x \in Z_1 \cup Y_{[-R,-\frac{R}{8}]}$ and

$$
y \in \mathrm{supp}(f_{1,R}s_{1,k}) \subset Z_1 \cup Y_{[-R,-\frac{R}{4}]}, \tag{4.38}
$$

by method of comparing the heat kernel (see Lemma 1.9) we have

$$
\big|\big(e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2} - e^{-t(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}\big)(x,y)\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0} \leq Ce^{-cR^2/t}. \tag{4.39}
$$

Similar to (4.36), we get by Lemma 4.6 and (4.40) that for all $x \in Z_1 \cup Y_{[-R,-\frac{R}{8}]}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(\big(e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2} - e^{-t(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\right)(x)\right|\\
&\qquad \leq Ce^{-cR^2/t}\mathrm{Vol}(Z_{1,R})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\|_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} \leq C'R^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-cR^2/t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}
$$

By taking $t = R$, we find for all $x \in Z_1 \cup Y_{[-R,-\frac{R}{8}]}$

$$
\left|\left(\big(e^{-R(D^{Z_R})^2} - e^{-R(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}\big) f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\right)(x)\right| \leq CR^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-cR} \leq C'e^{-c'R}. \tag{4.41}
$$

Second, for $t>0$ and $x\in Y_{[-\frac{R}{8},R]}\cup Z_2$, by off-diagonal estimate of heat kernel (see Lemma 1.7), Lemma 4.6 and (4.38), we get for all $t>0$ and $x\in Y_{[-\frac{R}{8},R]}\cup Z_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big(\big(e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}-e^{-t(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}\big)f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\Big)(x)\Big|\\
&\leq\int_{Z_{1,R}}\big|e^{-t(D^{Z_R})^2}(x,x')\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0}\big|(f_{1,R}s_{1,k})(x')\big|dv_{Z_b}(x')\\
&\qquad\qquad+\int_{Z_{1,R}}\big|e^{-t(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}(x,x')\big|_{\mathscr{C}^0}\big|(f_{1,R}s_{1,k})(x')\big|dv_{Z_b}(x')\\
&\leq\int_{Z_{1,R}}Ce^{-cd^2(x,x')/t}\big|(f_{1,R}s_{1,k})(x')\big|dv_{Z_b}(x')\\
&\qquad\qquad+\int_{Z_{1,R}}Ce^{-cd^2(x,x')/t}\big|(f_{1,R}s_{1,k})(x')\big|dv_{Z_b}(x')\\
&\leq 2Ce^{-cR^2/t}\mathrm{Vol}(Z_{1,R})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\|_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\leq C'R^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-cR^2/t},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.42}$$

where $d(x,x')$ denotes the distance function. Let $t=R$, we get for all $x\in Z_2\cup Y_{[-\frac{R}{8},R]}$ (4.41) still holds. Thus we get for all $x\in Z_R$ that

$$\Big|\Big(\big(e^{-R(D^{Z_R})^2}-e^{-R(D^{Z_{1,R}})^2}\big)f_{1,R}s_{1,k}\Big)(x)\Big|_{\mathscr{C}^0}\leq Ce^{-cR}.\tag{4.43}$$

Finally, the first inequality of (4.32) follows from (4.34), (4.36), (4.37) and (4.43). Following a similar proof, we can get the second inequality of (4.32). Using (4.35) and off-diagonal estimates, we get the uniform estimates (4.33) by a similar argument. □

Lemma 4.9. *We have for $R\to\infty$*

$$\mathrm{G}_R=\mathrm{I}_{h^{(p)}\times h^{(p)}}+\mathcal{O}(e^{-cR}).\tag{4.44}$$

Proof. As we see that the volume of Z_R grows of order $\mathcal{O}(R)$, this lemma is an easy consequence of Lemma 4.8, (4.12) and (4.29). □

To prove Lemma 4.3, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.10. *We have for $R\to\infty$*

$$\Theta_R=\mathrm{I}_{h^{(p)}\times h^{(p)}}+\mathcal{O}(e^{-cR}).\tag{4.45}$$

Before proving this lemma, we see that (4.30) follows from (4.31), (4.44) and (4.45), so in order to prove Lemma 4.3 we only need to prove Lemma 4.10. The last subsection of this paper will be contributed to prove Lemma 4.10.

4.3. **Proof of Lemma 4.10.**

Definition 4.11. For $[\sigma_R^\bullet]\in H^p(Z_R,F_R)$, $\big($resp. $H^p(Z_{1,R},F_R)$, $H^p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R)\big)$ and $[\sigma_{R,\bullet}]\in H_p(Z_R,F_R^*)$, $\big($resp. $H_p(Z_{1,R},F_{1,R}^*)$, $H_p(Z_{2,R},Y,F_{2,R}^*)\big)$. There is a well-defined paring between the cohomology groups and the homology groups induced by the natural paring between the cochain groups and chain groups, that's

$$\langle[\sigma_R^\bullet],[\sigma_{R,\bullet}]\rangle:=\langle\sigma_R^\bullet,\sigma_{R,\bullet}\rangle.\tag{4.46}$$

This paring between the cohomology groups and the homology groups is non-degenerate.

Using the following commutative diagram



(4.47)

we define

$$j_p^{-1} = h_p \circ f_p^{-1}. \tag{4.48}$$

From the diagram (4.47), we read off that

$$j_p \circ i_p = 0, \quad l_p \circ h_p = 0. \tag{4.49}$$

Recall that the diffeomorphisms $\phi_R : M \longrightarrow M_R$ and $\phi_{i,R} : M_i \longrightarrow M_{i,R}$ have been constructed respectively in Lemma 1.12.

Definition 4.12. Let $\{[\sigma_i]|1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)}\}$ (resp. $\{[\tau_j]|1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$) be a local frame of $H_p(Z_1, F^*)$ (resp. $H_p(Z_2, Y, F^*)$). For any $R \geq 0$, we put

$$[\sigma_{R,i}] = \phi_R[\sigma_i], \quad 1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)} \quad (\text{resp. } [\tau_{R,j}] = \phi_R[\tau_j], \quad 1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}), \tag{4.50}$$

which constitute a local frame of $H_p(Z_{1,R}, F^*_{1,R})$ (resp. $H_p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F^*_{2,R})$). Then we define for $1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}$

$$[\widetilde{\tau}_{R,j}] = f_p^{-1}[\tau_{R,j}], \tag{4.51}$$

which constitute a frame of $H_p(Z_{2,R}, F^*_{2,R})$.

By our construction, we know that the coefficients of expansion of $\{[\sigma_{R,i}], [\tau_{R,j}], [\widetilde{\tau}_{R,j}]\}$ in term of the frame $\{\mathfrak{a}_{R,i}, \mathfrak{b}_{R,j}\}$ are constants with respect to R, so we get by Remark 1.16

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\sigma_{R,i}) = \mathcal{O}(R), \quad \mathrm{Vol}(\tau_{R,j}) = \mathcal{O}(R), \quad \mathrm{Vol}(\widetilde{\tau}_{R,j}) = \mathcal{O}(R). \tag{4.52}$$

Let $\mu_R = (\mu_{Rii'})$ be a $h_1^{(p)} \times h_1^{(p)}$ matrix and $\eta_R = (\eta_{Rjj'})$ be a $h_2^{(p)} \times h_2^{(p)}$ matrix, defined by

$$\mu_{Rii'} = \big\langle [a_R^i], [\sigma_{R,i'}] \big\rangle, \quad \eta_{Rjj'} = \big\langle [b_R^j], [\tau_{R,j'}] \big\rangle. \tag{4.53}$$

By Lemma 4.5, we get for $1 \leq i,\, i' \leq h_1^{(p)}$, $1 \leq j,\, j' \leq h_2^{(p)}$

$$\mu_{Rii'} = \mathcal{O}(R), \quad \eta_{Rjj'} = \mathcal{O}(R). \tag{4.54}$$

We set $\mu_R^{-1} = \big((\mu_R^{-1})_{ii'}\big)$ to be the inverse of μ_R and $\eta_R^{-1} = \big((\eta_R^{-1})_{jj'}\big)$ to be that of η_R, then we define

$$a_{R,i} := \sum_{i'} (\mu_R^{-1})_{ii'} \sigma_{R,i'}, \quad b_{R,j} := \sum_{j'} (\eta_R^{-1})_{jj'} \tau_{R,j'}, \tag{4.55}$$

whose homology classes, $[a_{R,i}]$, $[b_{R,j}]$, are respectively new frames of $H_p(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R}^*)$ and $H_p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_{2,R}^*)$. Consequently, by (4.51) and (4.55), we get

$$f_p^{-1}(b_{R,j}) = \sum_{j'} (\eta_R^{-1})_{jj'} f_p^{-1}(\tau_{R,j'}). \tag{4.56}$$

By (4.53) and (4.55) we get

$$\big(\langle [a_R^i], [a_{R,i'}] \rangle\big)_{h_1^{(p)} \times h_1^{(p)}} = \mathrm{I}_{h_1^{(p)} \times h_1^{(p)}}, \quad \big(\langle [b_R^j], [b_{R,j'}] \rangle\big)_{h_2^{(p)} \times h_2^{(p)}} = \mathrm{I}_{h_2^{(p)} \times h_2^{(p)}}. \tag{4.57}$$

Lemma 4.13. *For R sufficiently large, we have for any $1 \leq i,\, i' \leq h_1^{(p)}$, $1 \leq j,\, j' \leq h_2^{(p)}$,*

$$(\mu_R^{-1})_{ii'} = \mathcal{O}(R), \quad (\eta_R^{-1})_{jj'} = \mathcal{O}(R). \tag{4.58}$$

Proof. Let $\{[\sigma^i] | 1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)}\}$ (resp. $\{[\tau^j] | 1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$) be a frame of $H^p(Z_1, F)$ (resp. $H^p(Z_2, Y, F)$), such that

$$\langle [\sigma^i], [\sigma_{i'}] \rangle = \delta_{i'}^i \quad (\text{resp. } \langle [\tau^j], [\tau_{j'}] \rangle = \delta_{j'}^j\,). \tag{4.59}$$

Let $\{\xi^i | \, 1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)}\}$ (resp. $\{\zeta^j | \, 1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$) be a frame of the space of harmonic forms $\mathscr{H}^p(Z_1, F)$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}^p(Z_2, Y, F)$) such that

$$P_1^\infty(\xi^i) = [\sigma^i] \quad (\text{resp. } P_2^\infty(\zeta^j) = [\tau^j]\,). \tag{4.60}$$

Let $\{\xi_R^i | \, 1 \leq i \leq h_1^{(p)}\}$ (resp. $\{\zeta_R^j | \, 1 \leq j \leq h_2^{(p)}\}$) be a frame of $\mathscr{H}^p(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R})$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}^p(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_{2,R})$) such that

$$P_{1,R}^\infty(\xi_R^i) = (\phi_R^{-1})^*[\sigma^i], \quad (\text{resp. } P_{2,R}^\infty(\zeta_R^j) = (\phi_R^{-1})^*[\tau^j]\,). \tag{4.61}$$

By (4.50), (4.53), (4.60) and (4.61), we have

$$[a_R^i] = \sum_{i'} \mu_{Rii'} (\phi_R^{-1})^*[\sigma^{i'}], \quad [b_R^j] = \sum_{j'} \eta_{Rjj'} (\phi_R^{-1})^*[\tau^{j'}]. \tag{4.62}$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I}_{h_1^{(p)} \times h_1^{(p)}} &= \big\langle [a_R^i], [a_R^k] \big\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{Hp(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R})}} \\ &= \big(\mu_{Rii'}\big) \left(\big\langle (\phi_R^{-1})^*[\sigma^{i'}], (\phi_R^{-1})^*[\sigma^{k'}] \big\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{Hp(Z_{1,R}, F_{1,R})}} \right) \big(\mu_{Rkk'}\big)^* \\ &= \big(\mu_{Rii'}\big) \left(\big\langle \xi_R^{i'}, \xi_R^{k'} \big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})} \right) \big(\mu_{Rkk'}\big)^*, \end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

and similarly

$$\mathrm{I}_{h_2^{(p)} \times h_2^{(p)}} = \big\langle [b_R^j], [b_R^l] \big\rangle_{h_{L^2}^{Hp(Z_{2,R}, Y, F_{2,R})}} = \big(\eta_{Rjj'}\big) \left(\big\langle \zeta_R^{j'}, \zeta_R^{l'} \big\rangle_{L^2(Z_{2,R})} \right) \big(\eta_{Rll'}\big)^*. \tag{4.64}$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ be the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the matrix, then by (4.63) and (4.64) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu_R^{-1}\|^2_{HS} &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left\langle \xi_R^{i'}, \xi_R^{k'}\right\rangle_{L^2(Z_{1,R})}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{h_1^{(p)}} \|\xi_R^k\|^2_{L^2(Z_{1,R})},\\ \|\eta_R^{-1}\|^2_{HS} &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left\langle \zeta_R^{j'}, \zeta_R^{k'}\right\rangle_{L^2(Z_{2,R})}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^{h_2^{(p)}} \|\zeta_R^l\|^2_{L^2(Z_{2,R})}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, let $L^2_R(Z_i)$ be the L^2-metric on Z_i with respect to $g_R^{TZ_i}$ and h^F. Let $(P_i^{\{0\}})_R$ be the orthogonal projection on the space of harmonic forms on Z_i with respect to $L^2_R(Z_i)$. By Lemma 1.14 and (4.65), we get the first estimate in (4.58)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mu_R^{-1}\|^2_{HS} &= \sum_{k=1}^{h_1^{(p)}} \|\phi_R^*\xi_R^k\|^2_{L^2_R(Z_1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{h_1^{(p)}} \|(P_i^{\{0\}})_R\xi^k\|^2_{L^2_R(Z_1)}\\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{h_1^{(p)}} \|\xi^k\|^2_{L^2_R(Z_1)} = \mathcal{O}(R^2).\end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 1.14 and (4.65) we get the second estimate of (4.58). The proof is completed. □

By (4.52), (4.55), (4.56) and (4.58), we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}(a_{R,i}) = \mathcal{O}(R^2), \quad \mathrm{Vol}(b_{R,j}) = \mathcal{O}(R^2), \quad \mathrm{Vol}(f_p^{-1}b_{R,j}) = \mathcal{O}(R^2). \tag{4.67}$$

By (4.6), (4.22), (4.48), (4.49) and (4.57), we get for $1 \leq i', k \leq h_1^{(p)}$, $1 \leq j', l \leq h_2^{(p)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{i_p a_{R,i'}} \widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R} &= \left\langle (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], i_p[a_{R,i'}]\right\rangle = \left\langle [a_R^k], [a_{R,i'}]\right\rangle = \delta^k_{i'},\\ \int_{j_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}} \widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R} &= \left\langle (i_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], j_p^{-1}[b_{R,j'}]\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle (k_p^*)^{-1}[a_R^k], (l_p\circ h_p)\circ f_p^{-1}[b_{R,j'}]\right\rangle = 0,\\ \int_{i_p a_{R,i'}} \widetilde{\beta}_{l,R} &= \left\langle j_p^*[b_R^l], i_p[a_{R,i'}]\right\rangle = \left\langle [b_R^l], (j_p\circ i_p)[a_{R,i'}]\right\rangle = 0,\\ \int_{j_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}} \widetilde{\beta}_{l,R} &= \left\langle j_p^*[b_R^l], j_p^{-1}[b_{R,j'}]\right\rangle = \left\langle [b_R^l], [b_{R,j'}]\right\rangle = \delta^l_{j'}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

This means that $\{P_R^\infty\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}, P_R^\infty\widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}\}$ is the dual basis of $\{i_p a_{R,i'}, j_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}\}$. We set $\mathrm{A}_R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{A}_R^1 & \mathrm{A}_R^2\\ \mathrm{A}_R^3 & \mathrm{A}_R^4\end{pmatrix}$ such that for $1 \leq i, k \leq h_1^{(p)}$, $1 \leq j, l \leq h_2^{(p)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A}_R^1)_{ki} &= \int_{i_p a_{R,i}} \widehat{\alpha}_{k,R}; \quad (\mathrm{A}_R^2)_{kj} = \int_{j_p^{-1}b_{R,j}} \widehat{\alpha}_{k,R};\\ (\mathrm{A}_R^3)_{li} &= \int_{i_p a_{R,i}} \widehat{\beta}_{l,R}; \qquad (\mathrm{A}_R^4)_{lj} = \int_{j_p^{-1}b_{R,j}} \widehat{\beta}_{l,R}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

By (4.27), (4.68) and (4.69), we get

$$\Theta_R\cdot \mathrm{A}_R=\begin{pmatrix} \Big(\int_{i_pa_{R,i'}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}\Big)_{h_1^{(p)}\times h_1^{(p)}} & \Big(\int_{j_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\widetilde{\alpha}_{k,R}\Big)_{h_1^{(p)}\times h_2^{(p)}}\\ \Big(\int_{i_pa_{R,i'}}\widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}\Big)_{h_2^{(p)}\times h_1^{(p)}} & \Big(\int_{j_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\widetilde{\beta}_{l,R}\Big)_{h_2^{(p)}\times h_2^{(p)}}\end{pmatrix}=\mathrm{I}_{h^{(p)}\times h^{(p)}}. \tag{4.70}$$

By (4.70), to study the asymptotic behavior of Θ_R we need to get an estimate for each block of A_R.

1) For A_R^1, by Lemma 4.8, (4.15), (4.57), (4.67) and (4.69), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A}_R^1)_{k'i'}&=\int_{i_pa_{R,i'}}\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}=\int_{a_{R,i'}}\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}=\int_{a_{R,i'}}\alpha_{k',R}+\int_{a_{R,i'}}\big(\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}-\alpha_{k',R}\big)\\&=\big\langle[a_R^{k'}],[a_{R,i'}]\big\rangle+\int_{a_{R,i'}}\big(\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}-\alpha_{k',R}\big)=\delta_{i'}^{k'}+\int_{a_{R,i'}}\big(\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}-\alpha_{k',R}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.71}$$

and

$$\Big|\int_{a_{R,i'}}\big(\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}-\alpha_{k',R}\big)\Big|\leq Ce^{-cR}\cdot\mathrm{Vol}(a_{R,i'})\leq C'e^{-c'R}. \tag{4.72}$$

By (4.71) and (4.72), we find

$$(\mathrm{A}_R^1)_{k'i'}=\delta_{i'}^{k'}+\mathcal{O}(e^{-cR}). \tag{4.73}$$

2) For A_R^2, by (4.33), (4.67) and (4.69), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\big|(\mathrm{A}_R^2)_{k'j'}\big|&=\Big|\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}h_p^*\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}\Big|=\Big|\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\widehat{\alpha}_{k',R}\Big|\\&\leq Ce^{-cR}\mathrm{Vol}(f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'})\leq Ce^{-c'R}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.74}$$

3) For A_R^3, by (4.33), (4.67) and (4.69), we have

$$\big|(\mathrm{A}_R^3)_{l'i'}\big|=\Big|\int_{i_pa_{R,i'}}\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}\Big|\leq Ce^{-cR}\cdot\mathrm{Vol}(a_{R,i'})\leq C'e^{-c'R}. \tag{4.75}$$

4) For A_R^4, we observe that

$$b_{R,j'}-f_p^{-1}\big(b_{R,j'}\big)\in C_p(\mathbb{K}_Y,F^*). \tag{4.76}$$

Since $\{\beta_{l',R}\in\mathscr{H}^p\left(Z_{2,R},Y,F_R\right)|1\leq l'\leq h_2^{(p)}\}$ satisfy the relative boundary conditions, so we get from (4.76) that

$$\int_{f_p^{-1}\left(b_{R,j'}\right)}\beta_{l',R}=\int_{b_{R,j'}}\beta_{l',R}=\delta_{j'}^{l'}. \tag{4.77}$$

By (4.15), Lemma 4.8, (4.57), (4.67), (4.69) and (4.77), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A}_R^4)_{l'j'}&=\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}h_p^*\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}=\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}\\&=\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\beta_{l',R}+\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\big(\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}-\beta_{l',R}\big)=\delta_{j'}^{l'}+\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\big(\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}-\beta_{l',R}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.78}$$

and

$$\Big|\int_{f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'}}\big(\widehat{\beta}_{l',R}-\beta_{l',R}\big)\Big|\leq Ce^{-cR}\mathrm{Vol}(f_p^{-1}b_{R,j'})\leq C'e^{-c'R}. \tag{4.79}$$

Then from (4.78) and (4.79), we get

$$(\mathrm{A}_R^4)_{l'j'}=\delta_j^{l'}+\mathcal{O}(e^{-cR}). \tag{4.80}$$

Finally, by (4.73), (4.74), (4.75) and (4.80), we get

$$\mathrm{A}_R=\mathrm{I}_{h^{(p)}\times h^{(p)}}+\mathcal{O}(e^{-cR}). \tag{4.81}$$

By (4.70) and (4.81), we have proved Lemma 4.10, which is the main result of this subsection.

References

[1] Smooth fibre bundles and higher torsion invariants. http://www.uni-math.gwdg.de/wm03/, 2003.

[2] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer. Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 77:43–69, 1975.

[3] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.

[4] J.-M. Bismut. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.*, 83(1):91–151, 1985.

[5] J.-M. Bismut and S. Goette. Families torsion and Morse functions. *Astérisque*, (275):x+293, 2001.

[6] J.-M. Bismut and J. Lott. Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(2):291–363, 1995.

[7] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Opérateurs de Toeplitz et torsion analytique asymptotique. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(17-18):977–981, 2011.

[8] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang. An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller. *Astérisque*, (205):235, 1992. With an appendix by François Laudenbach.

[9] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[10] J. Brüning and X. Ma. An anomaly formula for Ray-Singer metrics on manifolds with boundary. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 16(4):767–837, 2006.

[11] J. Brüning and X. Ma. On the gluing formula for the analytic torsion. *Math. Z.*, 273(3-4):1085–1117, 2013.

[12] U. Bunke. On the gluing problem for the η-invariant. *J. Differential Geom.*, 41(2):397–448, 1995.

[13] U. Bunke. Equivariant higher analytic torsion and equivariant Euler characteristic. *Amer. J. Math.*, 122(2):377–401, 2000.

[14] J. Cheeger. Analytic torsion and the heat equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(2):259–322, 1979.

[15] R. G. Douglas and K. P. Wojciechowski. Adiabatic limits of the η-invariants. The odd-dimensional Atiyah-Patodi-Singer problem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 142(1):139–168, 1991.

[16] W. Dwyer, M. Weiss, and B. Williams. A parametrized index theorem for the algebraic K-theory Euler class. *Acta Math.*, 190(1):1–104, 2003.

[17] S. Goette. Morse theory and higher torsion invariants I. *Preprint (2001)*, arXiv: math/0111222.

[18] S. Goette. Morse theory and higher torsion invariants II. *Preprint (2003)*, arXiv: math/0305287.

[19] S. Goette. Torsion invariants for families. *Astérisque*, (328):161–206 (2010), 2009.

[20] A. Hassell. Analytic surgery and analytic torsion. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 6(2):255–289, 1998.

[21] K. Igusa. *Higher Franz-Reidemeister torsion*, volume 31 of *AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[22] K. Igusa. Higher complex torsion and the framing principle. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 177(835):xiv+94, 2005.
[23] K. Igusa. Axioms for higher torsion invariants of smooth bundles. *J. Topol.*, 1(1):159–186, 2008.
[24] J. Lott and M. Rothenberg. Analytic torsion for group actions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 34(2):431–481, 1991.
[25] W. Lück. Analytic and topological torsion for manifolds with boundary and symmetry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 37(2):263–322, 1993.
[26] X. Ma. Functoriality of real analytic torsion forms. *Israel J. Math.*, 131:1–50, 2002.
[27] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, volume 254 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
[28] J. Milnor. Whitehead torsion. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:358–426, 1966.
[29] W. Müller. Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Riemannian manifolds. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(3):233–305, 1978.
[30] W. Müller. Analytic torsion and R-torsion for unimodular representations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(3):721–753, 1993.
[31] J. Park and K. P. Wojciechowski. Adiabatic decomposition of the ζ-determinant and scattering theory. *Michigan Math. J.*, 54(1):207–238, 2006.
[32] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer. R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds. *Advances in Math.*, 7:145–210, 1971.
[33] S. M. Vishik. Generalized Ray-Singer conjecture. I. A manifold with a smooth boundary. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 167(1):1–102, 1995.
[34] K. P. Wojciechowski. The additivity of the η-invariant: the case of an invertible tangential operator. *Houston J. Math.*, 20(4):603–621, 1994.
[35] W. Zhang. *Lectures on Chern-Weil theory and Witten deformations*, volume 4 of *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
[36] J. Zhu. On the gluing formula of analytic torsion forms. *Preprint (2013)*, arXiv:1405.3025.

Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China
E-mail address: jialinzhu@nankai.edu.cn